Writing Sapphics in Aeolic - My notes

C. Bochan, Sydney, last updated 26 July 2006

1. SAPPHO CONSOLIDATED GRAMMAR	3
SPELLING	3
(a) Verbs	3
(b) Participles	3
(c) Nouns	
(e) Article and pronouns	4
(f) Adverbs and numbers	
(g) Prepositions and preverbs	
(h) N-moveable	
(i) Accents and aspiration	6
SPELLING - AEOLIC TRANSFORMATIONS	6
(a) Vowels - generally	
(b) Vowels - lengthening	7
(c) Vowels and diphthongs - contraction and reduction	7
(d) Single consonants - generally	7
(e) Consonant combinations - generally	7
(f) Mutes - reduction and doubling	8
(g) Sigma - reduction and doubling	8
(h) Liquids and nasals - reduction and doubling	8
(i) Initial digamma	8
SCANSION AND WORD PLACEMENT	8
(a) Making of position and correption	8
(b) Lengthening of short vowels for reasons other than Aeolic transformations	g
(c) Synecphonesis and synizesis	9
(d) Hiatus	9
(e) Elision	9
(f) Crasis	10
(g) Word-end within the line	10
(h) Word and syntax division between lines	10
SYNTAX	11
(a) Definite article	11
(b) Pronouns other than the definite article	12
(c) Particles	12
(d) Use of verbs	12
DIALECT AND STYLE	12
(a) Lesbian-specific features	12
(b) Structure	13
(c) Style: how Sappho writes	13
(d) Themes: what Sappho writes about	13

(e) Epic phrases and themes	14
METRE AND LOCALISATION	14
(a) Aeolic metres generally	14
(b) Metres specific to each Book of Sappho	16
(c) General localisation tendencies I found in Sappho Book 1	16
EUPHONY	17
(a) The best-sounding letters	17
(b) Letters which clash	17
(c) Rhythm	17
(d) Euphony in Sappho	17
2. NOTES ON GREEK RELIGION AND APHRODITE	19
(a) Ritual	19
(b) Sanctuary (c) Aphrodite	20 21
(c) Apinoune	21
3. SAPPHO GLOSSARY WITH NOTES	22
4. MY LOCALISATION DATA FOR BOOK 1	24
(a) Localisation summary	24
$(b) \sim - (iamb)$	25
(c) - (trochee)	26
(d) - (spondee)	28
(e) $\sim -$ (anapaest) (f) $\sim -$ (amphibrachys)	30 31
(g) $\sim -$ (bacchius)	31
$(h) - \circ \circ (dactyl)$	33
$(i) - \checkmark - (cretic)$	34
(j) (palimbacchius)	35
(k) (molossus)	35
$(1) \circ \circ - \circ$	35
$(m) \sim \sim -$	36
$(n) \circ - \circ \circ$	36
$\begin{array}{l} \text{(o)} \smile\\ \text{(p)} \smile \smile -\text{(choriamb)} \end{array}$	36 37
(q) - c - c (chornamb)	37
$(\mathbf{r}) - \mathbf{v} = -$	37
$(s) \circ \circ$	38
$(t) \smile$	38
5. MY SAPPHIC COMPOSITIONS	39
(a) With my notes (b) The same compositions, without my notes	39 49
(b) The same compositions, without my notes	49

Reference	Page	Rule 1. Sappho consolidated grammar
SPELLIN	_	
	0	
(a) Verbs		
Lobel 1927	29	Paradigm: thematic verbs, indicative finite: <i>future</i> : $-\sigma\omega$ or $-\sigma\epsilon\omega$ (1sg), $-(\sigma)\eta\iota s$ (2sg), $-(\sigma)\epsilon\iota$ (3sg).
Lobel 1927	66 & 29	Paradigm: thematic verbs, non-indicative finite: sigmatic aorist subjunctive active: short-vowel subjunctives except 2sg [note Page 1955]
	(subj), 64	limits the short-vowel subjunctive here to 1pl];, eg χαλάσσομεν (1pl), ἀείσηις (2sg); present optative -οιην (1sg), eg ἀγαγοίην; 2nd aorist
	(opt)	optativeοιην.
Lobel 1927	42 & 51	Paradigm: -εω verbs (in Attic) are in Lesbian: <i>active</i> : -ημμι (1sg), -ηις (2sg) [but see Lobel 1927 pages 27-29 on 2sg exceptions], -ει (3sg); eg φίλημμι, φίληις, φίλει; <i>middle/passive</i> : -ηνται (3pl).
Lobel 1927	42 & 51	Paradigm: -αω verbs (in Attic) are in Lesbian: active: -αιμι (1sg), -αις (2sg), -αι (3sg); eg γέλαιμι, γέλαις, γέλαι; middle/passive: -ανται (3pl).
Lobel 1927	51	Paradigm: -οω verbs (in Attic) are in Lesbian: active: -ωμι (1sg); middle/passive: -ωνται (3pl).
Lobel 1927	42	Paradigm: -ημι verbs (in Attic) are in Lesbian: active: -αιμι (1sg), -αισθα (2sg), -αισι (3sg); eg ἴσταιμι, ἴσταισθα, ἴστασι.
Lobel 1927	51	Paradigm: non-finite forms: <i>infinitive thematic</i> : $-\eta \nu$ [for $-\epsilon \epsilon \nu$: see Palmer 1980 page 114] [this ending is also used for perfects in $-\kappa \alpha$: Page 1955 page 328], <i>infinitive athematic</i> : $-\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota$ [for this ending see Palmer 1980 page 61], <i>perfect participle</i> : $-\omega \nu$ (for $-\omega s$ in Attic), <i>imperative</i> : $-\nu \tau \sigma \nu$ (3pl active),
Lobel 1927	39	Paradigm: ἔμμι - finite: present: ἔμμι (1sg), ἔστι (3sg); future: ἔσσομαι (1sg), ἔσσηι (2sg), ἔσσεται (3sg); imperfect: ἔον (1sg and maybe 3pl), ἢσθα (2sg), ἢs (3sg); subjunctive: ἔω (maybe 1sg), ἢι (3sg); optative: ϵἴη (3sg).
Lobel 1927	39	Paradigm: ἔμμι - non-finite: <i>infinitive</i> : ἔμμεναι (present), ἔσσεσθαι (future); <i>participle</i> : ἔων, ἔοισα (present); <i>imperative</i> : ἔσσο (2sg present).
Lobel 1927	43	Paradigm: δοκέω (in Attic) is in Lesbian: δοκίμωμι (1sg), δοκίμωις (2sg), δόκιμοι (3sg). [Hamm 1957 glossary: δοκίμωμι means δοκεῖ μοι.]
Lobel 1927	43 & 30 (on 3pl)	Paradigm: δίδωμι (in Attic) is in Lesbian: present. δίδωμι (1sg), δίδωισθα (2sg), δίδωσι (3sg); aorist. ἔδοσαν οτ ἔδωκαν (3pl).
Lobel 1925	41	Syllabic augment: this must always be written.
Lobel 1925	42-43	Temporal augment: this must always be written, except perhaps in imperfects beginning with \dot{a}
Lobel 1927	27-29	Exception: $-\epsilon \omega$ verbs (in Attic) 2sg: the ending $-\eta \iota \sigma \theta a$ occurs twice, in $\xi \chi \eta \iota \sigma \theta a$ and $\phi \iota \lambda \eta \iota \sigma \theta a$.
Lobel 1927	43	Exception: $-\epsilon \omega$ verbs (in Attic): 2 verbs of this class, $\pi o\theta \dot{\eta} \omega$ and $\dot{a} \delta \iota \kappa \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota$, belong to a rarer Lesbian conjugation: $-\eta \omega$ (sg), $-\eta \epsilon \iota$ (3sg).
(b) Particij	ples	
Lobel 1927	12	-εω verbs: participles from these verbs have athematic endings (i.e. $-εντες$, $-εισαι$, &c).
Hamm 1957	155	Paradigm: present, -οντ-: ἔχων (nom sg), ἔχοντος (gen sg), ἔχοντα (acc sg), ἔχοντες (nom pl), ἐχόντων (gen pl), ἔχοντας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	154	Paradigm: aorist, $-a\nu\tau$ -: $\epsilon\pi i\beta a\iota s$ (nom sg), $\epsilon\kappa\tau\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\sigma\sigma\alpha\nu\tau\epsilon s$ (nom pl).
Hamm 1957	154	Paradigm: athematic, -εντ-: μόχθεις (nom sg), λάταχθεν (neut nom/acc sg), μόχθεντα (acc sg), μόχθεντες (nom pl), μόχθεντας (acc pl).

Reference	Page	Rule
(c) Nouns		
Lobel 1927	12	Genitive singular: is standardly spelled $-\omega$ in Sappho, with $-\omega$ only occurring once.
Lobel 1925	38	Dative pl noun/adjs: have the ending $-o\iota\sigma\iota(\nu)$ / $-a\iota\sigma\iota(\nu)$, the ι of which can be elided. $-o\iota\varsigma$ / $-a\iota\varsigma$ is not permitted.
Lobel 1927	49	Dative plural nouns: have the ending $-\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota(\nu)$ if those nouns are (a) polysyllables with a nominative plural in $-\epsilon s$, or (b) have a nominative
·		plural in -ματα, eg γυναίκεσσιν, κυλίκεσσιν, ὀρνίθεσσ', ὀππάτεσσι, ἀρμάτεσσ'.
Lobel 1927	50	Dative plural nouns: have the ending $-\sigma\iota(\nu)$ attached directly to the stem, if those nouns are monosyllables, eg $\delta\rho\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota\nu$, $\chi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\iota\nu$, $\pi\alpha\hat{\iota}\sigma\iota$, $\phi\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\iota$, $\pi\dot{\delta}\sigma\sigma\iota$ (but note the exceptions $\nu\dot{\alpha}\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota$ and $\pi\dot{\delta}\delta\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota\nu$, although the latter is probably non-Lesbian).
Lobel 1925	18-19	Iota adscript: Sappho wrote this, i.e. didn't leave it out. It started dropping out of use in the 4th c BC.
Lobel 1925	22	-εω verbs: verbal nouns from them in -ημα are spelled with -μμ-, eg νόημμα.
Lobel 1925	22-23	$-\alpha\omega$ and $-\omega$ verbs: verbal nouns from them can't be spelled with $-\mu\mu$. [cf. on $-\epsilon\omega$ verbs, Lobel 1925 page 22 above.]
Hamm 1957	147-148	Paradigm: 1st decl.: $-a\iota s$ (masc nom sg), $-\bar{a}$ or $-\check{a}$ (masc voc sg), $-\check{a}$ (fem voc sg), $-\tau a\iota \nu$ (gen / dat dual), $-a\nu$ (gen pl), $-a\iota \sigma\iota(\nu)$ (dat pl), $-a\iota s$ (acc pl) [for the acc pl, see Page 1955 page 328].
Hamm 1957	148	Paradigm: 2nd decl.: -os or - ϵ (voc sg), - ω (gen sg), - $\omega\iota$ (dat sg), -o $\iota\sigma\iota(\nu)$ (dat pl), -o ι s (acc pl) [for the acc pl, see Page 1955 page 328].
Hamm 1957	158	Paradigm: 3rd decl., -āν-: νâos (gen sg), νâϊ (dat sg), νâα (acc sg), νάων (gen pl), ναῦσιν or νάεσσιν (dat pl), νâαs (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., -ι-: πόλις (nom sg), πόλιος or πόληος (gen sg), πόλιν (acc sg), πολίων (gen pl), πόλεις (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., $-v/\epsilon$: $-vs$ (nom sg), $-vv$ (acc sg), $-\epsilon\epsilon s$ (nom pl), $-v$ (neut nom/acc sg), $-\epsilon\alpha$ (neut nom/acc pl).
Hamm 1957	158	Paradigm: 3rd decl., -v̄-: νέκυς (nom sg), νέκυ (voc sg), νέκυν (acc sg), νεκύων (gen pl), νέκυσι(ν) (dat pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., -εν-/-η _ε -: βασίλενς (nom sg), βασίληος or -εος (gen sg), βασίληϊ (dat sg), βασιλέα (acc sg) [but note ἀχίλλεα, Hamm 1957 page 159], βασίληες (nom pl), βασιλήων (gen pl), βασίληας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	158	Paradigm: 3rd decl., -v-/-ε _F -: ὧκυς (nom sg), ὧκυν (acc sg), ὤκεες (nom pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., $-\omega_1$: $\dot{\Psi}\dot{\alpha}\pi\phi\omega$ (nom sg), $\dot{\Psi}\dot{\alpha}\pi\phi\omega_1$ (voc sg), $\dot{\Psi}\dot{\alpha}\pi\phi\omega_2$ (gen sg), $\dot{\Psi}\dot{\alpha}\pi\phi\omega_2$ (acc sg).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., liquid, -ηρ-: κράτηρ (nom sg), κράτερ (voc sg), κράτηρα (acc sg), κράτηρες (nom pl), κράτηρας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., liquid, ἄνηρ: ἄνηρ (nom sg), ἄνδρος (gen sg), ἄνδρι (dat sg), ἄνδρα (acc sg), ἄνδρες (nom pl), ἄνδρων (gen pl), ἄνδρεσι (dat pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., liquid, πάτηρ: πάτηρ (nom sg), πάτερ (voc sg), πάτερος (gen sg), πάτερα (acc sg), πατέρων (gen pl).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., liquid, μάτηρ: μᾶτερ (voc sg), μάτερι (dat sg), μάτερα (acc sg).
Hamm 1957	150	Paradigm: 3rd decl., liquid, -ορ-: "Εκτωρ (nom sg), "Εκτορ (voc sg), "Εκτορα (acc sg).
Hamm 1957	152	Paradigm: 3rd decl., nasal, -εν-: πύθμην (nom sg), πύθμενος (gen sg), πύθμενα (acc sg), πύθμενες (nom pl).
Hamm 1957	152	Paradigm: 3rd decl., nasal, -ων-: χείμων (nom sg), χείμον (voc sg), χείμωνος (gen sg), χείμωνι (dat sg), χείμωνα (acc sg), χείμωνας (acc
		pl).
Hamm 1957	152	Paradigm: 3rd decl., nasal, -ον-: δαίμων (nom sg), δαίμον (voc sg), δαίμονος (gen sg), δαίμονι (dat sg), δαίμονα (acc sg), δαίμονες (nom pl), δαιμόνων (gen pl), δαίμονας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	152	Paradigm: 3rd decl., guttural, -κ-: κύλιξ (nom sg), κύλικι (dat sg), κύλικες (nom pl), κυλίκων (gen pl), κυλίκεσσιν (dat pl), κύλικας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	152	Paradigm: 3rd decl., guttural, -γ-: αἴξ (nom sg), αἶγος (gen sg), αἶγα (acc sg), αἶγες (nom pl), αἴγων (gen pl), αἶγας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	153	Paradigm: 3rd decl., dental, -τατ-: κακότατος (gen sg), κακότατι (dat sg), κακότατα (acc sg), κακοτάτων (gen pl).

Reference	Page	Rule
Hamm 1957	153	Paradigm: 3rd decl., dental, -ιτ-: χάρις (nom sg), μέλι (neut nom/acc sg), χάρι (dat sg), χάριν (acc sg), χάριτες (nom pl).
Hamm 1957	153	Paradigm: 3rd decl., dental, $-i\delta$ -: $\pi \acute{a}is$ (nom sg), $\pi a\hat{i}$ (voc sg), $\pi a\hat{i}\delta os$ (gen sg), $\pi a\hat{i}\delta i$ (dat sg), $\pi a\hat{i}\delta a$ (acc sg), $\pi a\hat{i}\delta es$ (nom pl), $\pi a\hat{i}\delta \omega \nu$ (gen pl), $\pi a\hat{i}\sigma i$ (dat pl), $\pi a\hat{i}\delta as$ (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	154	Paradigm: 3rd decl., dental, -κτ-: ἄναξ (nom sg), ἄναξ (voc sg), ἄνακτος (gen sg), ἄνακτι (dat sg), ἄνακτες (nom pl), ἄνακτας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	154	Paradigm: 3rd decl., dental, ποῦς: πόδα (acc sg), πόδες (nom pl), πόσσι or πόδεσσιν (dat pl), πόδας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	156	Paradigm: 3rd decl., -ματ- (neuter): κῦμα (nom sg), κύματος (gen sg), κύματι (dat sg), κύματα (nom pl), κυμάτων (gen pl), κυμάτεσσι (dat pl).
Hamm 1957	157	Paradigm: 3rd decl., sigmatic, -εσ-: Πολυδεύκης (nom sg), εὔμαρες (neut nom/acc sg), Πολύδευκες (voc sg), Πολυδεύκεος (gen sg), Πολυδεύκηι (dat sg), Πολυδεύκην (acc sg), Πολυδεύκες (nom pl), εὖμάρεα (neut nom/acc pl), Πολυδευκέων (gen pl).
Hamm 1957	157	Paradigm: 3rd decl., sigmatic, $-\epsilon \sigma/o_S$: $\alpha \nu \theta o_S$ (nom sg), $\alpha \nu \theta \epsilon o_S$ (gen sg), $\alpha \nu \theta \epsilon a$ (nom pl), $\alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \nu$ (gen pl), $\alpha \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ (dat pl).
(d) Adjecti	ves	
Hamm 1957	160	Paradigm: μέγας: μέγας (nom sg), μέγα (neut nom/acc sg), μεγάλω (gen sg), μεγάλωι (dat sg), μέγαν (acc sg), μεγάλων (gen pl).
Hamm 1957	154	Paradigm: πᾶς (in Attic): παῖς (nom sg), πάν (neut nom/acc sg), πάντι (dat sg), πάντα (acc sg), πάντες (nom pl), πάντα (neut nom/acc pl), πάντων (gen pl), παῖσι or πάντεσσι (dat pl), πάντας (acc pl).
Hamm 1957	160	Paradigm: π όλ ν s: π όλ ν s (nom sg), π όλ ν (neut nom/acc sg), π όλ ν ν (acc sg), π όλλ ω (nom pl), π όλλ α (neut nom/acc pl), π όλλ ω ν (gen pl), π όλε α s (acc pl).

(e) Article and pronouns

Lobel 1925	37	Dative pl article: is spelled $\tau o i s / \tau \alpha i s$, or (where used as a relative or demonstrative) $\tau o i \sigma \iota(\nu) \tau \alpha i \sigma \iota(\nu)$, the ι of which can probably be
		elided (although not attested).
Hamm 1957	107	Paradigm: personal pronoun, nom.: $\ddot{\epsilon}\gamma\omega$ (1sg), $\sigma\dot{v}$ (2sg), $\ddot{\alpha}\mu\mu\epsilon$ s (1pl), $\ddot{v}\mu\mu\epsilon$ s (2pl).
Hamm 1957	107	Paradigm: personal pronoun, gen.: $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon\nu$ (1sg), $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$ (2sg), $\dot{\epsilon}\theta\epsilon\nu$ (3sg), $\dot{\alpha}\mu\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ (1pl), $\dot{\nu}\mu\mu\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$ (2pl).
Hamm 1957	107	Paradigm: personal pronoun, dat.: ἔμοι, μοι or μ' (1sg), σοί, τοι or τ' (2sg), μοι (3sg), ἄμμι(ν), ἄμμ' or ἄμμεσιν (1pl), ὔμμι (2pl), σφι
		(3pl).
Hamm 1957	107	Paradigm: personal pronoun, acc.: $\xi \mu \epsilon$, $\mu \epsilon$ or μ ' (1sg), $\sigma \epsilon$, $\sigma \epsilon$ or σ ' (2sg), $\xi \epsilon$ (3sg), $\xi \mu \mu \epsilon$ (1pl), $\xi \mu \mu \epsilon$ (2pl), $\xi \phi$ ' (3pl).
Hamm 1957	107	Paradigm: possessive pronoun forms attested: ἔμαν (1sg), σάν and τέα (2sg), ροῖσι (3sg), ἄμμαν and ἄμμετέρας (1pl), σφῶν (3pl).
Hamm 1957	109	Paradigm: direct interrogative / indefinite pronoun: τίς and τις (masc / fem nom sg), τί and τι (neut nom/acc sg), τίωι (dat sg), τίνα and
		τινα or τιν' (acc sg), τίνες (nom pl), τίοισιν (dat pl). [The datives here are declined like o-stems: Palmer 1980 page 115.]
Hamm 1957	109	Paradigm: indirect interrogative pronoun: ὅττις (nom sg), ὅττι (neut nom/acc sg), ὅττιω (gen sg), ὅττινα (acc sg), ὅττινες (nom pl), ὅττινα
		(neut nom/acc pl), ὅττινας (acc pl).
Page 1955	47	Dative pl indefinite pronoun: this was ὅττοισι, not ὅτοισι.

(f) Adverbs and numbers

Lobel 1925	21	Adverbs: the ending of adverbs (corresponding to those which end -ov in Attic) wavers between -o ι -v ι and - ω (or - $\omega\iota$), eg $\pi\omega$ or π o ι for
		Attic $\pi o v$.
Palmer 1980	60	Numbers: ἴα is found for μία.

		1. Sappho Consolidated glainina
Reference	Page	Rule
(g) Preposi	tions and	d preverbs
Lobel 1927	25	$\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}$: this is always spelled $\ddot{o}\nu$ [note Page 1955 page 328, who says that this is sometimes spelled $\dot{o}\nu\nu$ before vowels].
Lobel 1927	24	$\dot{a}\pi\dot{o}$: this is spelled $\dot{a}\pi\dot{v}$ before all consonants except π ; before π , it can be spelled $\dot{a}\pi$ or $\dot{a}\pi\dot{v}$.
Lobel 1927	25	$\delta\iota\acute{a}$: this is spelled $\delta\iota$ ' before vowels, and can be spelled $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ or $\zeta\acute{a}$ (\check{a}) before consonants without restriction.
Lobel 1925	24-25	$\epsilon i_{S}/\epsilon_{S}$: ϵi_{S} is written before a vowel, and ϵ_{S} (with one exception) before a consonant.
Lobel 1927	71	$\vec{\epsilon}\nu$: when this falls before \sim \sim beginning with a vowel, it should be written $\vec{\epsilon}\nu\nu$.
Lobel 1927	14	$\kappa \alpha \tau \acute{\alpha}$: the apocopated form $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \tau$ (or forms of this with the final - τ assimilating to the next consonant, i. e. $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \kappa$ or $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \mu$) is used, with only one exception.
Page 1955	328	$\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$: the form $\pi\epsilon\delta\dot{\alpha}$ is used for this in Lesbian.
Lobel 1927	14	π αρά: the apocopated form π άρ is always used.
Lobel 1927	24	$\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$: this can be spelled $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}$, $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ or $\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho$ without restriction as the metre demands.
Page 1955	328	$\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$: this form doesn't occur in Lesbian: some of its functions are served by $\pi\epsilon\rho\dot{\iota}/\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho/\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho\rho$.
(h) N-move	eable	
Lobel 1925	72	Adverbs: ν -moveable may be added or removed from $\kappa \epsilon(\nu)$ to make position or to keep the syll short as the metre requires; with other adverbs, the rules are not clear.
Lobel 1925	73	Verbs: ν -moveable cannot be used on the ending of 3rd person plural verbs in the present, perfect, present subjunctive or a orist subjunctive.
Lobel 1925	73	Verbs: <i>v</i> -moveable cannot be used except on a syllable in anceps.
(i) Accents	and asp	iration
Hooker 1977	19	Accents: According to Herodian (περὶ παθῶν 2.825) the Aeolians used a recessive accent on all words except conjunctions and prepositions: οἱ Αἰολεῖς βαρυντικοί εἰσι πᾶσαν λέξιν ὑπὲρ μίαν συλλαβὴν παρ' ἡμῖν ὀξύτονον βαρύνουσι, χωρὶς τῶν προθέσεων καὶ συνδέσμων οἷον ἀχιλλεὺς σοφὸς ἀχίλλευς σόφος.
Page 1955	328	Accents: the 3pl imperative active is paroxytone, although the final vowel is short, eg ἀγόντον.
Page 1955	327	Aspiration: The dialect is psilotic, i.e. there are no initial aspirates, eg $i\pi\pi\sigma$ 0, $\dot{\rho}\dot{\epsilon}\theta$ 0s.

SPELLING - AEOLIC TRANSFORMATIONS

(a) Vowels - generally

Page 1955	327	\bar{a} : is found in Lesbian where Ionic and Attic modify to η , eg ἄδομαι, $\lambda \ddot{a} \theta a$.
Page 1955	327	ϵ : is found in Lesbian where other dialects have $\check{\alpha}$, eg $\kappa \rho \acute{\epsilon} \tau \sigma s$, $\theta \acute{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \sigma s$.
Palmer 1980	114	ϵ : is found in Lesbian in the combination $\epsilon \rho$ where other dialects have $\rho \iota$ or $\iota \rho$, eg $\tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \sigma s$ for $\tau \rho \dot{\iota} \tau \sigma s$, $\kappa \dot{\epsilon} \rho \nu \alpha \tau \epsilon$, $\Pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega$ for $\Pi \rho \iota \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \iota$.
Page 1955	327	o : is found in Lesbian where other dialects have α , particularly before ρ , eg $\beta \rho \dot{\rho} \chi v s$, $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\rho} \tau \sigma s$, $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \dot{\rho} \pi \tau \omega$.
Palmer 1980	114	o: is found in Lesbian where other dialects have α in κόθαρος, μόλθακος and ὀνία.
Page 1955	327	\check{v} : is found in Lesbian where other dialects have o , particularly before labials, eg $\mathring{v}\mu o \iota$ (=Attic $\mathring{o}\mu o \hat{v}$), $\sigma \tau \acute{v}\mu \alpha$, $\mathring{v}\pi \acute{\iota}\sigma\sigma \omega$.
Page 1955	327	-τα: is found in Lesbian where other dialects have -τε, eg ὅτα, ἄλλοτα, πότα, τότα.

		1. Sappho consolidated grainmai
Reference	Page	Rule
(b) Vowels	s - length	ening
Lobel 1925	23-24	α : Can be spelled $-\alpha\iota$ (but does not need to be) principally where (a) in the ending $-\alpha s$, (b) followed by σ + a vowel. [Also see Page 1955 page 24.]
Page 1955	24	α : Further to Lobel 1925 pages 23-24, α is spelled - $\alpha\iota$ - mainly in penultimate syllables, in a orist and perfect tenses, before short syllables, and in - $\alpha\mu\iota$ (= - $\alpha\omega$ in Attic) verbs.
Hamm 1957	152	a: Is also spelled αι in the nom sg of 3rd declension nouns in -aν-, eg τάλαις, μέλαις.
(c) Vowels	and diph	nthongs - contraction and reduction
Palmer 1980	114	$\epsilon + \epsilon$: contracts to η , eg $\kappa \hat{\eta} \nu o s$, $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \nu$ (= $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$).
Palmer 1980	114	$o + o$: contracts to ω .
Lobel 1925	33	Internal ε : where it dropped out, adjacent vowels don't contract if the 1st is short.
Lobel 1925	35	Internal ε : where it dropped out, adjacent vowels don't contract if both are long, except where the 1st is a diphthong, in which case the 2nd vowel of the diphthong is reduced, eg $-\omega$ to $-\omega$ ($\pi \delta \eta \mu \mu \nu$) (but $\pi \omega \delta \omega$ is an exception), loss of $-\omega$ adjectives ($\delta \omega \nu \nu \delta \omega \omega \omega \omega$) and loss of $-\omega$ in $-\varepsilon \omega$ s nouns ($i\pi \pi \dot{\eta} \omega \nu$).
Lobel 1925	35-36	Internal ε : where it dropped out, adjacent vowels don't contract if the 1st is long and the 2nd is short, except in (a) proper names and appellatives, where the 1st is usually shortened, eg $N\eta\rho\dot{\eta}\varepsilon$ but $N\eta\rho\varepsilon\dot{\varepsilon}$ but $N\eta\rho\varepsilon\dot{\varepsilon}$ and (b) $\hat{a}s$ always (= $\varepsilon\omega$ s) and $\chi\rho\dot{\omega}\iota$ (monosyll).
Lobel 1927	54-55	$\epsilon \iota$ followed by a vowel: this is never reduced to a short vowel, but is often found as η , eg $\lambda i \gamma \eta a \nu$ ($\lambda i \gamma \epsilon \iota a \nu$ in Attic) and $\gamma \lambda i \kappa \eta a \nu$ ($\gamma \lambda i \kappa \epsilon \iota a \nu$ in Attic), although not in certain optative endings, eg $\pi o \epsilon \iota \eta \nu$ and certain feminine forms, eg σ [].
Lobel 1927	56-57	οι followed by a vowel: this is always reduced to $o(eg \pi \delta \eta \sigma \theta a \iota)$ or ϵ in $\tau οιο \tilde{v} \tau os(eg \tau \epsilon a \dot{v} \tau \bar{a} \nu)$, except in (a) optative endings (eg $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi o \iota \epsilon \nu$), (b) 2-syll words (eg $\pi o \iota a s$) and (c) forms from 2-syll-or-less lemmata (eg $\chi \rho o \iota a \iota \sigma \iota$).
Lobel 1927	57-59	$\alpha\iota$ followed by a vowel: this is always reduced to $\check{\alpha}$ (eg $\mathring{v}\mu\acute{\eta}\nu\alpha\sigma\nu$, $\mu\acute{\alpha}\sigma\mu\alpha\iota$), except in optative endings (eg $\mathring{\alpha}\sigma\alpha\iota\sigma$), (b) (probably) 2-syll words and forms from 2-syll-or-less lemmata and (c) $\alpha \iota \acute{\epsilon} \iota$ (although not actually attested). [See also Lobel 1927 page 71.]
Page 1955	327-328	- α ισ-: is found in Lesbian from original - α νσ-, eg τ αίς (= Attic τ άς), π αῖς (=Attic π âς), throughout the aorist participle eg ἐλέφαις, ζεύξαισα.
Page 1955	327-328	-οισ-: is found in Lesbian from original -ονσ-, eg Μο $\hat{\iota}$ σα (=Attic Μο $\hat{\iota}$ σα), throughout the feminine present participle eg $\lambda \acute{\alpha} \beta ο\iota \sigma \alpha$.
(d) Single	consonan	ts - generally
Palmer 1980	114 & 232	$\pi\epsilon$: is sometimes found for the original *q ^w e, eg $\pi\epsilon\sigma$ σαρες, $\pi\dot{\eta}$ λοι (in other dialects, the labio-velar q ^w becomes a dental before front vowels and a labial before back vowels and consonants).
(e) Conson	ant comb	pinations - generally
Page 1955 Palmer 1980 Palmer 1980 Palmer 1980 Page 1955 Palmer 1980	328 114 61 61 328 61	 ζ: is written σδ in Lesbian everywhere except initially, eg ζεύξαισα but ἐπασδεύξαισα, Ζεῦs but φροντίσδην. ρσ (in other dialects): is ρρ in Lesbian, eg χέρρα. [My note: χέρσι isn't spelled χέρρι however.] Original -σμ-: is -μμ- in Lesbian and without lengthening of the vowel before it, eg ἔμμι [also see Lobel 1925 page 48]. Original -σν-: is -νν- in Lesbian and without lengthening of the vowel before it, eg ἀργεννος. στ- (in other dialects): is σπ- in Lesbian, eg σπελέω, σπόλα. Original σ_ε: becomes αν- in Lesbian, eg ναῦος from *νασ_εος.
1700	V -	

		1. Sappho consolidated grammar
Reference	Page	Rule
(f) Mutes	- reducti	on and doubling
Lobel 1925	47	Double mutes: are always retained (i. e. never shortened to a single mute) in (a) pronouns and adverbs beginning $\partial \pi \pi$ - (eg $\partial \pi \pi \sigma \tau a$), (b) Sappho's name (eg $\Psi \dot{\alpha} \pi \phi'$), (c) all forms of $\partial \tau \iota s$, including the adv $\partial \tau \iota \iota$, and (d) $\kappa \alpha \tau$ - in composition before τ , β , γ , δ ,
Lobel 1925	47	Single mutes: are never doubled by analogy with the items mentioned above, eg always $\pi \sigma \tau \acute{\alpha}$ (not $\pi \sigma \tau \tau \acute{\alpha}$), $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \acute{\iota}$ (not $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi \epsilon \acute{\iota}$), &c.
(g) Sigma	- reducti	ion and doubling
Lobel 1925	48	-σσ-: is never reduced to -σ- in (a) $\xi \mu \mu \iota$ (eg $\xi \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu a \iota$), (b) the dat pl ending $-\epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota(\nu)$ of 3rd declension nouns whose nom pl ends in $-\epsilon s$ (eg $\gamma \nu \nu a \iota \kappa \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$) [but see Lobel 1925 page 49.]
Lobel 1925	49	-σσ-: is also never reduced to -σ- in (c) the adjectives and pronouns ὄσσος, τόσσουτος, &c.
Lobel 1925	49	- $\sigma\sigma$ -: may be reduced to - σ - (but not necessarily) in (a) the dat pl ending of 3rd declension nouns whose nom pl ends in - ϵ s where the ending is attached directly to the stem, eg $\pi \acute{o}\sigma\sigma\iota$ but $\chi \acute{\epsilon}\rho\sigma\iota(\nu)$.
Lobel 1925	49	-σσ-: may also be reduced to -σ- (but not necessarily) in (b) futures and a rists from stems in -σ, eg τ ελέσσω but τ ελέσαι and τ έλεσον.
Lobel 1925	50	- $\sigma\sigma$ -: may also be reduced to - σ - (but not necessarily) in (c) futures and aorists from non-consonantal stems (the reason is unclear), eg κάλεσσαι but καλέσας.
Lobel 1927	53	$-\sigma\sigma$ - vs. $-\sigma$ - in verbs: the general rule for future and arosts is, $-\sigma\sigma$ - is used when followed by $-\alpha$ or in the 3rd person singular arosts, whereas $-\sigma$ - is used when followed by $-\epsilon$ or $-\sigma$.
Lobel 1925	50-51	Single -σ-: is never doubled by analogy with the items mentioned in Lobel 1925 pages 48 and 49, for instance (a) dat pl endings of vowel or quasi-vowel stems, eg $\delta\rho\dot{\nu}\sigma\iota\nu$ (not $\delta\rho\dot{\nu}\sigma\sigma\iota\nu$), (b) dat pl endings of neuter stems in -εs, eg $\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\sigma\iota\nu$ (not $\sigma\tau\dot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\sigma\sigma\iota\nu$), and (c) forms from - σ F-, eg $\ddot{\iota}\sigma\sigma$ s (not $\ddot{\iota}\sigma\sigma\sigma$ s).
(h) Liquid	ls and nas	sals - reduction and doubling
Lobel 1925	52	-μμ- / -νν-: these are never reduced, eg $\check{\epsilon}$ μμ $\check{\epsilon}$ ναι (not $\check{\epsilon}$ μ $\check{\epsilon}$ ναι), $\check{\epsilon}$ νν $\check{\epsilon}$ πην (not $\check{\epsilon}$ ν $\check{\epsilon}$ πην).
Lobel 1925	53	- $\lambda\lambda$ - / - $\rho\rho$ -: these are also never reduced, except that - $\rho\rho$ - alternates with - ρ - in $\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$ - compounds, eg $\pi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\theta\eta\kappa\alpha\sigma$ but $\pi\epsilon\rho\rho\epsilon\chi\sigma\iota\sigma'$.
Lobel 1925	54-55	Single $-\lambda$ - $/-\mu$ - $/-\nu$ - $/-\rho$ -: is never doubled on analogy of the items mentioned in Lobel 1925 pages 52 and 53, except for $-\nu$ - in $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ($\dot{\epsilon}\nu\dot{\alpha}\nu\tau\iota o\nu$ but $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu$ 'Αχέ[$\rho o\nu\tau$ and always $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\nu\kappa\alpha$).
Lobel 1925	58	π ολ- / π ολλ- / π ωλ-: always use π ολ-, on its own and in compounds (eg Sappho wrote π όλυ, π ολυανθέμοις, &c).
(i) Initial	digamma	
Lobel 1925	28	Initial ε : was present the 3rd person pronoun (eg $\varepsilon \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$) and its corresponding adjective (eg $\tau \delta \nu$ Fo $\nu \pi a i \delta a$).
Lobel 1925	29	Initial ρ : sometimes transformed words beginning ρ to $\beta \rho$, but not always.
SCANSI	ON AN	D WORD PLACEMENT
(a) Makin	g of posi	tion and correption
Lobel 1925	30	Initial \digamma : does not affect scansion (i. e. prevent elision or crasis, or make position), apart from 2 classes of words. [see Lobel 1925 pgs 28

8

and 29 above, summarised in paragraph "(i) Initial digamma".]

Mute + liquid: sylls should always scan long before these.

Lobel 1925

		1. Sappho consolidated grammai
Reference	Page	Rule
Lobel 1925	60	Epic correption: can't be used; it only occurs in Sappho's "abnormal" poems, as Lobel puts it.
(b) Length	ening of	short vowels for reasons other than Aeolic transformations
Lobel 1927	70	πολυ-: whereas this form occurs regularly with short -o- in Sappho and Alcaeus, Sappho writes Πωλυανάκτιδα to avoid an inadmissable sequence of 3 shorts.
Lobel 1927	71	aι before a vowel: whereas this is regularly reduced to a [see Lobel 1927 pages 57-59], it isn't reduced in καιομέναν or ἐμαιόμαν in Sappho, so as to avoid sequences of 3 or more shorts.
Hooker 1977	46-47	The following metrical alternatives are found in Sappho:
		ullet 52: ὀράνω $-$ 1.11: ἀράνω
		• 27.4: πάϊς — 103.6: παίδα
		• 96.11: πολυανθέμοις — 155: Πωλυανάκτιδα
		Some of the alternative forms may have come from epic, others forms by analogy from epic words (e. g. $\Pi o \nu \lambda \nu \delta \dot{\alpha} \mu \sigma s$), others may be from another dialect (e. g. $\dot{\omega} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$), and others may belong to different stages of the development of Lesbian dialect (e. g. $\pi \dot{\alpha} \ddot{\imath} s / \pi \alpha \hat{\imath} \delta a$, and the genitive plural endings $-a\omega\nu / -a\nu$.
(c) Synecp	honesis	and synizesis
Lobel 1925	62	Synecphonesis: occurs just as in eg the Attic poets (eg $\mu \dot{\eta}$, $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ and $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\nu\dot{a}\sigma\theta\eta$, $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda\dot{a}$ where $-\eta$ slurs into \dot{a} -).
Lobel 1925	63	Synizesis: does not occur in Sappho where the first syllable is $-\iota$
Lobel 1927	60	Synizesis: does not occur in Sappho where the first syllable is $-\epsilon$ -; in fact, synizesis is not found at all in Sappho.
(d) Hiatus		
Lobel 1925	66	Hiatus: in Book 1, is not permitted between the 3rd and 4th lines of a stanza, which are really just 1 line; elision therefore can occur at this point just as within a line, and word division across this boundary is permitted. [See also Lobel 1925 page 69 below.]
Lobel 1925	66	Hiatus: in Book 1, is only permitted between the other lines of a stanza (i. e. 1st-2nd and 2nd-3rd) where the open final syll is long, eg (lines 1-2) $\delta\iota\omega\xi\epsilon\iota\mid a\iota$, $a\iota$ $a\iota$ $a\iota$ $a\iota$ $a\iota$ $a\iota$ $a\iota$ $a\iota$
Lobel 1925	67	Hiatus: in Book 1, is permitted in all forms between stanzas, eg $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \lambda o \iota \sigma \alpha \mid \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \hat{\epsilon}$.
Lobel 1925	67	Hiatus: similarly, in Books B, Γ and (presumably) Δ , written in 2-line stanzas, the same rule applies, i.e. between lines 1 and 2, no word division is allowed, and hiatus only permitted where the open final syll is long; between each stanza, any form of hiatus is allowed.

Lobel 1925 69

(e) Elision

Lobel 1925

68

61

Lobel 1925

Elision of $-\alpha\iota$: is permitted in (a) $-\mu\alpha\iota$ $-\tau\alpha\iota$ $-\theta\alpha\iota$ and $-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ of middle and passive verbs (eg $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\tau'$ $o\check{v}\delta\acute{\epsilon}$), (b) aor infactive (eg $\phi\acute{\omega}\nu\alpha\iota\sigma'$ $o\check{v}\delta\dot{v}$), and (c) ἔμμεναι.

Hiatus: similarly, in Book E, the same rule applies; eg in the 3-line stanzas (2 glyconics + 1 Aeolic dactylic acatalectic tetrameter),

permitted where the open final syll is long (eg lines 1 to 2, $\kappa\omega\lambda\dot{\nu}\epsilon\iota$ | $\alpha\ddot{\nu}\delta\omega$ s); between each stanza, any form of hiatus is allowed.

between lines 1 and 2 and lines 2 and 3, no word division is allowed [but cf. Lobel 1925 page 68 below], and hiatus only permitted where the open final syll is long (eg lines 1 to 2: θ έλω | ὅμναισαι; lines 2 to 3: β ασιληίωι | ἐξαλείψαο); between each stanza, any form of hiatus

Hiatus: in Alcaics, the normal rule for hiatus applies, i.e. between lines 1 and 2, and 2 and 3, no word division is allowed, and hiatus only

is allowed. [See also Lobel 1925 69 on Alcaics below.]

Reference	Page	Rule
Lobel 1925	61-62	Elision of $-o\iota$: is permitted in (a) dat sg of personal pronouns $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\iota^*$, $\sigma o\iota^*$, [* but see Page 1955 page 21], $fo\iota$, and $\tau o\iota$ and its compounds (eg $\kappa a\iota \tau$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ [), and (b) vocatives of nouns in ω (eg $\Psi \dot{\alpha}\pi \phi\iota$) for $\Psi \dot{\alpha}\pi \phi o\iota$).
Page 1955	21	Elision of -oι: is not permitted in σοι except before αὖτωι, and whereas μοι can always be elided, ἔμοι can only be elided before αὖτωι or
C		αὔται.
Hooker 1977	20	H. Jacobsohn observed that, whereas $-\alpha\iota$ and $-ο\iota$ are never elided when they bear the accent, both poets elide the $-ο\iota$ of $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\dot{\iota}$ before $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\hat{a}\iota$ or $a\dot{\upsilon}\tau\hat{\omega}\iota$.
(f) Crasis		
Hamm 1957	38	$a(\iota) + a(\upsilon) = a$: e.g. κἀτέρωτα, κἆνδρι, κ' Ανδρομάχαν, καὐτα.
Hamm 1957	38	$\alpha(\iota) + \epsilon = \alpha$: e.g. $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\iota}$, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \sigma \lambda o \nu$, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \mu o s$.
Hamm 1957	39	$\alpha \iota + \epsilon v = \alpha v$: e.g. $\kappa \alpha \dot{v} \omega \chi$
Hamm 1957	39	$\alpha(\iota) + o = \omega$: e. g. $\kappa \ddot{\omega} \tau \tau \iota$.
Hamm 1957	39	$\alpha(\iota) + ov = \omega v$: e. g. κωὔτε, κωὖκ, κωὖδε.
Hamm 1957	39	$o + \alpha v = \omega v$: e.g. $\tau \omega \hat{v} \tau o$.
Hamm 1957	39	$o + \epsilon = \omega$: e.g. $\tau \hat{\omega} \mu o \nu$, $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi o \varsigma$.
Hamm 1957	39	$o(\iota) + \alpha = \omega$: e. g. $\ddot{\omega}\nu\eta\rho$, $\ddot{\omega}\nu\alpha\xi$.
Hamm 1957	39	$\eta + \alpha = \eta$: e.g. $\hat{\eta} \rho$ '.
Hamm 1957	39	$\eta + \alpha v = \eta v$: e.g. $\delta \eta \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$.
Hamm 1957	38	$\eta + \epsilon = \eta$: e.g. $\ddot{\eta}$ 'πειτα, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ 'πιλά θ εσ (although Hamm's orthography here suggests this is prodelision of ϵ , rather than crasis).
Hamm 1957	39	$η + ov = ηv$: e. g. $μη\dot{v}$ κο.
Hamm 1957	39	$ω + α = ω$: e.g. $\mathring{ω}$ γεσιλαΐδα, προτέρω 'νέμω (although Hamm's orthography here suggests this is prodelision of $α$, rather than crasis).
Hamm 1957	39	$\omega + \alpha \iota = \omega \iota$: e. g. $\dot{\omega} \rho \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega' \theta \epsilon \rho \sigma$ s.
Hamm 1957	39	$\omega + \epsilon = \omega$: e. g. $\pi \hat{\omega} \sigma \lambda o \nu$.
Hamm 1957	39	$\omega + \epsilon \iota = \omega \iota$: e.g. $\pi \Omega l \rho a \nu \nu a$.
Hamm 1957	38	$\omega + o(\omega) = \omega$: e. g. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \mu o \sigma \sigma$
Hamm 1957	38	$\omega + ov = \omega v$: e.g. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \kappa$, $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$.
(g) Word-e	end withi	in the line
Page 1955	318	Word-end coincides with long first anceps (ie position 4) occurs at least 12 times.
Page 1955	318	Word end at position 5 occurs in less than half the examples.
Lobel 1925	72	Dative plural ending: the short ending of the dative plural of the 1st and 2nd declensions with ν -moveable (i.e. $-o\iota\sigma\iota\nu$ or $-a\iota\sigma\iota\nu$) must sit in an anceps; however this rule does not apply to the 3rd declension.
(h) Word a	nd synta	x division between lines
Lobel 1925	69	Word-division: is permitted between the 3rd and 4th lines of an Alcaic stanza (eg $\ddot{o}\pi\pi\alpha$ - $-\tau$ ' $\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ '), and hiatus is not permitted.
West 1982	33	Syntax division: although strophe-end normally coincides with a syntax break, it doesn't need to.
Page 1955	318	Syntax division: δ' once begins a line.

Reference	Page	Rule
Lobel 1925	68-69	Word-division: in Book E, in at least 2 poems of 3-lined stanzas made up of 3 metres, words can be divided between the 1st and 2nd lines
		(eg $\gamma \nu \nu \alpha i - -\kappa \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$), and between the 2nd and 3rd lines (eg $\ddot{\alpha}\nu - -\theta \rho \nu \sigma \kappa \alpha$); hiatus is not permitted at all within a stanza.

SYNTAX

(a) Definite article

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Lobel 1927	74	With abstract nouns: this almost never occurs in Lesbian.
Lobel 1927	75	With nouns denoting a class: this almost never occurs in Lesbian.
Lobel 1927	76	With adjectives, to form a substantive: this occurs, but not when the adjective is neuter plural (which can be treated as a noun without it), eg τὸ λάμπρον καὶ τὸ κάλον, but κάκοισι θῦμον ἐπιτρέπην.
Lobel 1927	77	With participles, to form a substantive: this occurs, eg ἀ πόλυ περσκέθοισα.
Lobel 1927	77	With prepositional phrases, to form an adjective: this occurs, eg βρόδων τὼν ἐκ Πιερίας, τὸν ἀπ' ἴρας λίθον, &c.
Lobel 1927	77	With adverbs denoting order/sequence: this occurs, eg $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta$, &c.
Lobel 1927	77-78	With adjective + noun (or in reverse order, which is less frequent in Sappho): this almost never occurs, apart from the exceptions cited below.
Lobel 1927	78	With the second occurrence of a noun (anaphoric use): this occurs, eg ἐκ δ' ὀράνω μέγας χείμων κάββαλλε τὸν χείμων'.
Lobel 1927	79	With things being contrasted: this occurs, eg ὁ μὲν γὰρ κάλος ὁ δὲ κἄγαθος.
Lobel 1927	79	With an adjective qualifying a personal pronoun: this is required, eg σε τὰν κάλαν, ταὶς κάλαισιν ὕμμι, &c.
Lobel 1927	79	With a nominative in an address, or in the accusative as subject of an infinitive of address: this is required, eg χαίρε, Κυλλάνας ὁ μέδεις; πόλλα μοι τὰν Πωλθανάκτιδα παίδα χαίρην; also possibly σὰ δ', ἀ μάκαιρα (instead of ὧ μάκαιρα).
Lobel 1927	80-81	With a demonstrative adjective or αὖτος: this regularly occurs, eg κῆνος ὤνηρ, τόνδε τὸν πάλον, ἀ κατάγωγις αὔτα, &c.
Lobel 1927	81	With a possessive adjective: sometimes the article is used, sometimes it isn't, with no clear guiding rule (i.e. for composition purposes, take it as optional for now), eg τὰς ἔμας αὕδας, τὸν ϝὸν θῦμον, but κὰτ ἔμον στάλαχμον, ἔμος θεράπων, &c.
Lobel 1927	84	With a relationship noun $(\pi \acute{a} \tau \eta \rho, \gamma \acute{a} \mu \beta \rho \sigma s, \&c)$: this is not used, except where another rule requires the article [see eg Lobel 1927 page 92].
Lobel 1927	84	With a noun denoting a piece of property: this is not used, eg $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon' i \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \kappa' i \theta \omega \nu \alpha s$.
Lobel 1927	86-88	With unmodified proper nouns: as a rule, these do not occur, not being found with names of towns, countries, hills, temples, rivers, clans, peoples, gods, or legendary or contemporary persons.
Lobel 1927	88-89	With adjectives + proper nouns: this as a rule is used in Lesbian for all names other than gods (eg τa s $a \pi a \lambda a$ s $\Gamma \nu \rho i \nu \nu \omega s$), and in Sappho, this rule also (probably) applies to gods as well, eg $\tau a \nu \pi o \lambda \nu o \lambda \delta o \nu A \phi \rho o \delta i \tau a \nu$, although there may be more than one exception to this.
Lobel 1927	89	With genitive noun depending on another noun: this never occurs, eg ἐν ἸΑίδα δόμωι.
Lobel 1927	90	With any noun + any noun depending on it: this almost never occurs with either noun, eg πάτρος δόμον, ἐν μοισοπόλων δόμωι, &c.
Lobel 1927	92	With an object noun + a predicate adjective of that noun: the noun regularly has the article, eg ἀβλάβην μοι τὸν κασίγνητον τότε τυίδ' ἴκεσθαι, ἔχηις τὰν δόξαν οἴαν ἄνδρες ἐλεύθεροι;, &c.

Reference	Page	Rule
(b) Pronou	ıns other	than the definite article
Lobel 1927	81-82	Possessive pronouns: these aren't used to refer to a part of a person (whether particular or not) when no emphasis is laid on the person possessing, eg κὰμ μὲν γλῶσσα ἔαγε and χρῶι πῦρ ὑπαδεδρόμηκε (particular), ἐν στήθεσιν (general), &c.
Lobel 1927	84	Possessive pronouns: with the words θῦμος, φρήν, νῶς, &c, sometimes the possessive pronoun is used, and sometimes it isn't, with no clear guiding rule, eg ὄσσα δέ μοι τέλεσσαι θῦμος ἰμέρρει and κὤσσα ροι θύμωι κε θέληι γένεσθαι, but τυίδε νῶν ἔχοισα and οὐ χρῆ κάκοισι θῦμον ἐπιτρέπην. [But see Lobel 1927 page 85.]
Lobel 1927	85	Possessive pronouns: these are used (enclitic forms) when the subject of the verb is different from the person possessing, eg μή μ' ἄσαισι δάμνα θῦμον, τό μ' ἢ μὰν καρδίαν ἐν στήθεσιν ἐπτόαισεν, &c.
Lobel 1927	63	Relative and demonstrative pronouns: the article in Lesbian has assumed the function of both of these types of pronoun.
Page 1955	22	Direct relative pronoun: $\tau \dot{o}$ as a relative can refer to the general content of a preceding clause or sentence.
Page 1955	20	Indirect relative pronoun: this may refer to a definite antecedent only where that antecedent denotes:
		 an individual of known identity, eg in Euripides τὸ ταύτης σῶμα τιμᾶσθαι χρέων, ἥτις γε τῆς σῆς προύθανε ψυχῆς ("honour this woman, as one who sacrified herself for you", almost causal "because she died"),:
		• not a particular individual but any individual of the kind described in the relative clause, eg in Sappho $\kappa \hat{\eta} \nu$ ' $\delta \tau \tau \omega \tau \iota s \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ ("that thing, whatever it may be, which one loves"), or:
		 a particular individual, and the relative signifies that his particular identity is of no importance in the context, eg. in Homer τῶιδ' ἔφες ἀνδρὶ βέλος ὅστις ὅδε κρατέει ("shoot at this may, whoever he may be, who is ruling [on the battlefield]").
(c) Particl	es	
Page 1955	22	Temporal $\dot{\omega}_{S}\dot{\omega}_{S}$: this idiom is found in Homer: the first $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ is temporal ("when"), and the second temporal-demonstrative ("then"); the second $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ has no accent. In Homer, both $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ introduce aorists indicative: Sappho in 31.7 uses the first $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ to introduce an aorist subjunctive, and the second a present indicative.
Page 1955	22	Indefinite temporal $\dot{\omega}s$: this with the subjunctive and without $\kappa\epsilon$ or $\ddot{a}\nu$, in the sense of $\ddot{o}\tau a\nu$ (as in Sappho 31.7), may have been characteristic of Lesbian; cf. $\ddot{o}\tau \tau \omega \tau \iota s \ \ddot{\epsilon}\rho a\tau a\iota$ ("that thing, whatever it may be, which one loves") without $\kappa\epsilon$.
(d) Use of	verbs	
Lobel 1927	37-38	Nominal phrase: Lesbian does not express the 3rd person singular or plural "to be", i.e. $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ or $\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\iota$ are not found, except once in Alcaeus (with the sense "it is possible" + infinitive), and possibly once in Sappho (+ $\mu o\iota$, with the sense "I have").
Lobel 1927	39	Nominal phrase: If Lesbian does express the copula, it uses $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ (singular) or $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ (plural), rather than $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ or $\epsilon \dot{\iota} \sigma \iota$.

DIALECT AND STYLE

(a) Lesbian-specific features

Palmer 1980	60	A patronymic adjective is used instead of the genitive of the father's name.
Palmer 1980	61	$\kappa \epsilon(\nu)$ is used for $\alpha \nu$ or $\kappa \bar{\alpha}$.

Reference	Page	Rule
(b) Structu		
Page 1955	11-12	Sappho may have commonly repeated ideas in the first and last strophes; this cannot be proven. It is unlikely that Sappho repeated words in the first and last strophes if they had no other connection in thought as well.
Page 1955	16-17	Sappho 1 uses the ritual-prayer structure, addressing the god directly, accumulating cult epithets, defining the god's parentage, reminding the god of past services rendered to her, and then defining the service now required.
(c) Style: 1	how Sap	pho writes
Lobel 1925	57	Borrowing of non-Lesbian forms: Sappho avoids this.
Page 1955	16	Sappho often critically judges her own ecstasy and pain: in the moment of her agony, she expresses the vanity and impermanence of her passion.
Page 1955	27	Further to Page 1955 page 16, when Sappho describes her own pain, she does not exaggerate or pity herself, and describes simply and exactly, so that the listener emphathises with her.
Page 1955	39-40	Where Sappho summons a god to come to her, she will address the god by name or title at or near the beginning of the poem, and describe the place the god is to visit.
Page 1955	43	When a god visited Sappho, it was present and spoke to Sappho, but it was invisible and appeared by signs only (eg Zeus as an eagle, or Aphrodite behind a cloud of sparrows).
Page 1955	55-56	Further to Page 1955 page 27, when Sappho uses the priamel structure at the beginning of fragment 16, she simply states the items, rather than embellishing them with elevated descriptions, such as in e.g. Pindar.
Page 1955	56	Sappho will simply describe the facts, rather than praise or blame the people involved, such as in her description of Helen in fragment 16.
Page 1955	133	When describing her rivals, Sappho uses derisive language.
Page 1955	137	The emotions of hatred and contempt are no less vigorously expressed by Sappho than those of love.
(d) Theme	s: what S	Sappho writes about
Page 1955	133	The principal themes of Sappho's poetry are her loves and hatreds: the pleasures and pains of an idle but graceful society, her passion for a favourite, her jealousy of a rival.
Page 1955	18	Sappho can devote a large part of her poem to a detail irrelevant to the main theme, such as a god descending to earth.
Page 1955	41-42	Sappho frequently describes her own experiences being visited and spoken to by a god, rather than the experiences of the god itself, and are designed to be heard by mortals rather than as worship songs to gods.
Page 1955	62	The comings and goings of Sappho's companions are among the commonest themes of her verse.
Page 1955	112	There is almost a total lack of evidence for the cult-song, or ceremonial poetry of any type, in Sappho's poetry.
Page 1955	129	Further to Page 1955 page 112, there is no evidence for the narration of divine or heroic adventures of the type common in ritual and cerermonial verse.
Page 1955	126	No divinity except Aphrodite plays any considerable part in the remains of Sappho's poetry.
Page 1955	131	A few passages of Sappho refer to persons and events within her own family circle.
Page 1955	144	Whe describing her companions, Sappho has nothing to say about their spiritual, intellectual or moral qualities: she focuses on the things which arouse her passion.

Reference	Page	Rule
(e) Epic pl	rases ar	nd themes
Page 1955	38	Word usage: Sappho in the "normal" poems only uses a few of the compound adjectives also found in Homer.
Page 1955	56	Sappho uses the common theme of appealing to epic stories to confirm her own argument, such as in fragment 16, where she describes Helen.
Hooker 1977	40	Schulze notes that a large number of the expressions used by both poets have their prototypes in epic (which includes Hesiod and the Homeric Hymns as well as the Iliad and the Odyssey), e.g.:
		 Homeric Hymn 6.1 χρυσοστέφανον 'Αφροδίτην — Sappho 33.1: χρυσοστέφαν' 'Αφρόδιτα Odyssey 4.824: εἴδωλον ἀμαυρόν — Sappho 55.4: ἀμαύρων νεκύων
		 Odyssey 4. 824. ετοωλού αμαύρου — Sappho 33.4: αμαύρων νεκόων Iliad 19. 285: ἀπαλὴν δειρήν — Sappho 94. 16: ἀπάλαι δέραι
		 Hesiod Theogony 120-121: "Ερος λυσιμελής — "Ερος λυσιμέλης
Hooker 1977	41	Furthermore, Schulze notes that some epithets were borrowed from epic but used in a different way, e.g.:
		• Hesiod, Theogony 246: Εὐνίκη ῥοδόπηχυς — Sappho 53: Βροδοπάχεες ἄγναι Χάριτες
		• Odyssey 11. 604: Ἡρης χρυσοπεδίλου — Sappho 123: χρυσοπέδιλος Αὔως
		• Hesiod, Theogony 915-916: Μνημοσύνης καλλικόμοιο, ἐξ ἡς οἱ Μοῦσαι — Sappho 128: καλλίκομοί τε Μοῖσαι
Hooker 1977	44	Poem 1 borrows many themes from Homeric epic, e.g.:
		 Iliad 14.315-316: οὐ γάρ πώ ποτέ μ' ὧδε ἔρος θυμὸν ἐδάμασσεν — Sappho 1.3-4: μή μ' δάμνα, πότνια, θῦμον
		 Odyssey 3.478: ἔζευξαν ὑφ' ἄρμασιν — Sappho 1.9: ἄρμ' ἀπασδεύξαισα
		 Iliad 2.699: γαῖα μέλαινα — Sappho 1.10: περὶ γᾶς μελαίνας
		 Odyssey 2.151: ἐπιδινηθέντε τιναξάσθην πτερὰ πυκνά — Sappho 1.11: πύκνα δίννεντες πτέρ'
		• Iliad 17.425: οὐρανὸν ἶκε δι' αἰθέρος — Sappho 1.12: ἀπ' ώράνω αἴθερος
		 Odyssey 19.458: αἶψα δ' ἵκοντο — Sappho 1.13: αἶψα δ' ἐξίκοντο
		• Iliad 3.424: φιλομμειδης Άφροδίτη — Sappho 1.14: μειδιαίδαισ'
		 Odyssey 14. 54: ὅττι μάλιστ' ἐθέλεις — Sappho 1. 17: κὤττι μοι μάλιστα θέλω
** 4 4055		 Odyssey 2.110: καὶ οὐκ ἐθέλουσ' — Sappho 1.24: κωὐκ ἐθέλοισα
Hooker 1977	44	Furthermore, Sappho relies on deeper themes from Homeric epic, e.g. Iliad 14.194-196:
		"Ηρη πρέσβα θεὰ θύγατερ μεγάλοιο Κρόνοιο
		αὔδα ὅ τι φρονέεις: τελέσαι δέ με θυμὸς ἄνωγεν, εἰ δύναμαι τελέσαι γε καὶ εἰ τετελεσμένον ἐστίν.
		Compare Sappho 1. 26-27: ὄσσα δέ μοι τέλεσσαι θῦμος ἰμέρρει, τέλεσον. Sappho hopes and expects that Aphrodite will give her the same
		favourable response that she once gave Hera.
Hooker 1977	57	Fränkel notes that whereas Homer uses broad descriptions, Sappho in poem 44 uses rapid series of simple statements.
	υ,	

METRE AND LOCALISATION

(a) Aeolic metres generally

West 1982 29 Resolution and contraction: neither is permitted.

Reference	Page	Rule
West 1982	30	Aeolic basis: where this is used in a metrical scheme (i. e. beginning a line with $\cong \cong$), the 1st syllable is more often long than short, and it's rare for both to be short.
West 1982	191	Definition of terms used to describe some Aeolic cola:
		"Acatalectic": having a full, as opposed to a short, ending.
		 "Anaclasis": re-distribution of long and short positions within a colon or metron.
West 1982	30-31	The basic Aeolic cola are:
		• $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ - \circ - (\text{glyconic: "gl"})$
		• (anaclastic glyconic, double-short falling earlier: ""gl")
		• – – – – (anaclastic glyconic, double-short falling later: "gl"")
		• $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ \text{(pherecratean: "ph")}$
		• $=$ - $=$ - (telesillian: "tl")
		• $=$ $ =$ $-$ (reizianum: "r")
		• $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ - \circ \text{(hipponactean: "hi")}$
		• (anaclastic hipponactean, double-short falling earlier: "hi")
		• – – – – (anaclastic hipponactean, double-short falling later: "hi"")
		• $=$ - $=$ - (hagesichorean: "hag")
		• (aristophanean: "ar")
		• $- \circ \circ - \circ - (\text{dodrans: "dod"})$
		• (adonean: "ad")
		• $=$ (penthemimer: "pe")
		• $- \circ - = - \circ \text{(trochaic dimeter: "2tr")}$
		• $- \circ - = - \circ - (lekythion: "lk")$
		• (ithyphallic: "ith")
		• • • • • • (ionic dimeter: "2io")
		• $\circ \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ$ (anacreontic, being 2io with anaclasis: "2io÷")
		• $ = = - = - = - = - = - = - = - = -$
		• $= = - = - = - = - = - = - = - = - $
West 1982	31	"External" extensions of Aeolic cola: can be made with (a) the prefix $= (ia)$ or its catalectic form $ (ia)$, or (b) the suffix $= (ia)$
		(<i>ia</i>) or its catalectic form $\circ (ia_{\wedge})$.
West 1982	31	Caesura: where the prefix $\leq (ia)$ is used, the Lesbians avoid caesura immediately after it, preferring a caesura one position later.
West 1982	32	"Internal" extensions of Aeolic cola with dactyls: this occurs in:
		• $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ - \circ - (\text{glyconics: "gl"})$, with 1 or 2 extra dactyls, e.g. 1 extra: $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ < - \circ \circ > - \circ -$
		• $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ$ (pherecrateans: "ph"), with 1, 2 or 3 extra dactyls, e.g. 1 extra: $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ < - \circ \circ >$
		• $=$ - $=$ - (hagesichoreans: "hag"), with 1 extra dactyl, i. e. $=$ - $=$ - $=$ - $=$ - $=$
		• $=$ - $=$ - (reizianums: "r"), with 1 extra dactyl, i. e. $=$ - $=$ - $=$ -

Reference	Page	Rule
West 1982	32	"Internal" extensions of Aeolic cola with choriambs: this occurs in:
		• $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$
		• $\simeq \simeq - \circ \circ (\text{pherecrateans: "ph"}), \text{ with 2 extra choriambs, i.e. } \simeq \simeq - \circ \circ - < - \circ \circ - > -$
		• $=$ - $=$ - (telesillians: "tl"), with 1 extra choriamb, i. e. $=$ - $=$ - $=$ - $=$ -
		• $=$ - $=$ - $=$ - (hagesichoreans: "hag"), with 1 or 2 extra choriambs, e.g. 1 extra: $=$ -
		• $\circ \circ - \circ \circ - \circ$ (ionic dimeters: "2io"), with 1 or 2 extra choriambs, e.g. 1 extra: $\circ \circ - \circ \circ - \circ \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ - $
		• $-\circ\circ-\circ-$ (aristophaneans: "ar"), with 2 extra choriambs, i. e. $-\circ\circ-<-\circ\circ->\circ-$
		Note that in these choriamb-extended forms there is often caesura between choriambs.

(b) Metres specific to each Book of Sappho

West 1982	32	Book 1: The Sapphic stanza is made up of 3 lines, not 4. The first 2 run:
		• $- \circ - = - \circ$, being $- \circ - (\text{ia})$ plus $= - \circ (\text{hagesichorean: "hag"})$.
		The 3rd line is an amplification of this:
		•
Page 1955	318	Book 1: The first anceps (position 4) is twice as often long as short.
Page 1955	114-115	Book 2: Was composed wholly in aeolic dactylic pentameters, ie $\cong = - \circ \circ - \circ \circ - \circ \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ - \circ$
Page 1955	318	Book 2: Although the schema of the line ends in a long syll, a short syll may be placed there, ie for the composer the last position is \leq .
Page 1955	318	Book 2: The basis \simeq is occupied by 2 shorts in Sappho 47.2 ($\phi \rho \acute{\epsilon} \nu \alpha s$) and Sappho 50.2 ($\mathring{\delta} \delta \acute{\epsilon}$).
Page 1955	114-115	Book 3: Was composed wholly in asclepiads, ie $\cong = - \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ - \circ \circ$, using 2-line stanzas.
Page 1955	319	Book 3: Although the schema of the line ends in a long syll, a short syll may be placed there, ie for the composer the last position is \leq .
Page 1955	319	Book 3: The basis $\simeq \simeq$ is occupied by 2 shorts in Sappho 53.1 ($\beta \rho o \delta o$ -).
Page 1955	114-115	Book 4: Was probably composed wholly or mainly in the metre $= - \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$, using 2-line stanzas.
Page 1955	115	Book 5: Contained several types of metre, using 3-line stanzas. The metres include the shorter asclepiad $\cong = - \circ \circ$
Page 1955	115	Book 6: The metre of this is unknown. [But note Page 1955 page 320, where this is said of Book 7 instead.]
Page 1955	115	Book 7: Was probably composed wholly in the metre $\circ - \circ - \circ \circ \circ - \circ $ [But note Page 1955 page 320, where this is said of
C		Book 6 instead.]
Page 1955	116 & 320	Book 8: Was composed wholly or mainly in the metre – $\circ \circ$ – – $\circ \circ$ – – \circ – – –.
Page 1955	123-125	Book 9 (called the Epithalamians): This book contained songs in various metres.

(c) General localisation tendencies I found in Sappho Book 1

My localisation table (a)	Localisation of all forms is avoided where the form would (a) touch the first anceps (position 4), or (b) end in the second-last position in the
	line (position 10 or 15).
My localisation table (a)	Trochaic forms are localised (where possible) at the start of the line, e.g. $- \circ$ and $- \circ -$.
My localisation table (a)	Iambic forms are localised (where possible) at position 7, e.g. \sim – and \sim – \sim .
My localisation table (a)	There is an avoidance of 2-syll words at positions 3-4.

Reference	Page	Rule
Personal comm	nunication	It has been suggested to me that the localisation I found in Sappho Book 1 may be due to the fact that at the beginning and end of the line
		there is a strong constraint imposed by compulsory word-boundary. The line must begin $- \circ -$ and end $\circ - =$. So the poet will always be
		looking for words providing these patterns to go in those positions, and they were likely to become the primary locations for those word-
		shapes. There was no regular caesura within the line to require particular word-shapes around positions 4-5. (Personal communication so I
		won't give names, but I won't take the credit for this idea.)

EUPHONY

(a) The best-sounding letters

Dion. of Hal.	96	Of the long vowels, α sounds best, then η , then ω , then ν , and lastly ι .
Dion. of Hal.	96	Of the short vowels, o sounds better than ϵ .
Dion. of Hal.	96	There are 8 semi-vowels: $\lambda \mu \nu \rho \sigma$, and the doubles $\zeta \xi \psi$.
Dion. of Hal.	98	Of the simple semi-vowels, λ sounds best and ρ is most noble, $\mu \nu$ come next, and the hiss σ comes last and shouldn't be used excessively.
Dion. of Hal.	100-102	Of the double semi-vowels, ζ sounds better and is more noble than ξ and ψ , as it is roughened by the breath.
Dion. of Hal.	102	Of the non-vowels, there are the smooths $\kappa \pi \tau$, the roughs $\theta \phi \chi$, and the intermediates $\beta \gamma \delta$.
Dion. of Hal.	104	Of the non-vowels, the roughs $\theta \phi \chi$ sound best, next the intermediates $\beta \gamma \delta$, and lastly the smooths $\kappa \pi \tau$.

(b) Letters which clash

Dion. of Hal.	162	If a vowel sits next to a vowel, or a semi-vowel or non-vowel next to a semi-vowel, this creates a harsh connection.
Dion. of Hal.	176	The combination $\nu\chi$ roughens the sound of the verse, as these letters can't combine.
Dion. of Hal.	176	When the mouth changes shape to say a letter unlike the last letter, this breaks the smoothness and euphony of the line.
Dion. of Hal.	182	The combination $\nu\lambda$, 2 semi-vowels, roughens the sound of the verse, as these letters can't combine.
Dion. of Hal.	186	The combination $\sigma \xi$ roughens the sound of the verse, as these letters can't combine.
Dion. of Hal.	188	The combinations $\nu\pi$, $\nu\tau$ and $\nu\kappa$ each roughen the sound of the verse, as these letters can't combine.
Dion. of Hal.	188	When vowels sit together, they prevent the ear from gaining the impression of one continuous clause.
(c) Rhythm	l	
Dion. of Hal.	160	Monosylls and 2-syll words slow down the rhythm of the verse (because they create many word-intervals).
Dion. of Hal.	162	Longer words quicken the rhythm of the verse.

(d) Euphony in Sappho

Dion. of Hal.	192	The γλαφυρά style of σύνθεσις, which Sappho used, cannot allow any intervals between the words [i. e. no clashes as described above].
Dion. of Hal.	192	In the $\gamma\lambda\alpha\phi\nu\rho\dot{\alpha}$ style of $\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\theta\epsilon\sigma\nu$, not only the words but also the clauses must be interwoven to produce a period; the clauses shouldn't be
		too long or short, but should produce a period which can be sung within one breath.
Dion. of Hal.	198	In Sappho 1, the letters and words are woven together so as to produce no rough or dissonant breaks: there are only about 5 or 6 clashes of
		sami yowals, or non yowals, or non yowals with yowals, furthermore there are faw clashes of yowals within clauses or between them

Reference Page Rule

REFERENCES USED FOR THIS GRAMMAR

Dion. of Hal.: Dionysius of Halicarnassus (c. 60BC - 7AD), ΠΕΡΙ ΣΥΝΘΕΣΕΟΣ ΟΝΟΜΑΤΩΝ in Loeb no. 466, Harvard: 1985.

Hamm 1957: Eva-Maria Hamm, Grammatik zu Sappho und Alkaios, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin: 1957

Hooker 1977: J.T. Hooker, The Language and Text of the Lesbian Poets, Innsbruck: 1977.

Lobel 1925: Edgar Lobel, ΣΑΠΦΟΥΣ ΜΕΛΗ: The fragments of the lyrical poems of Sappho, Oxford:1925.

Lobel 1927: Edgar Lobel, ΑΛΚΑΙΟΥ ΜΕΛΗ: The fragments of the lyrical poems of Alcaeus, Oxford: 1927.

Page 1955: Denys Page, Sappho and Alcaeus: An Introduction to the Study of Ancient Lesbian Poetry, Oxford: 1955.

Palmer 1980: Leonard Palmer, The Greek Language, Bristol Classical Press reprint, London: 2001.

West 1982: Martin L. West, Greek Metre, Oxford: 1982.

Reference	Page	Note
(a) Ritual		
Burkert 1985	13	The customary sacrificial animals of the Greeks are the sheep, ox, goat and pig (and not the donkey or horse).
Burkert 1985	17-18	The root of the Greek words $\ddot{\alpha}\zeta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ "to stand in awe of, to venerate", $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\nu\delta$ s "pure" and $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\iota\sigma$ s "sacred" is a basic term of cultic worship in the Iranian Avestan religion, but in Greek the words from this root stand at the periphery of the religious sphere, having been displaced by $\dot{\iota}\epsilon\rho\delta$ s and $\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\beta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$.
Burkert 1985	18	Although $\chi o \dot{\eta}$ comes from a root which designates libation offerings (especially in the cult of the dead and of subterranean powers), it can mean any type of pouring, whereas $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ (from the Anatolian tradition) came to be used for the purely cultic act. [Also see Burkert 1985 page 70.]
Burkert 1985	36	The elements of Greek sacrificial ritual after Mycenean times were: procession, altar, fire on the altar, preparatory offerings, flute accompaniment and catching of the blood.
Burkert 1985	46	Gifts to a god have a sequence: first, an offering of grain (eg corn or cakes), then a libation (eg wine), then the animal sacrifice, then additional bloodless offerings (eg cheese, oil or honey), then the fleece (for purification).
Burkert 1985	50	The normal Greek sanctuary from the 8th century onwards is distinguished by the demarcation of a $\tau \in \mu \in \nu \circ s$, the altar for burnt offerings, and (usually) a temple oriented towards the altar and housing a cult image.
Burkert 1985	55	The essence of the sacred act is the slaughter and consumption of a domestic animal for a god.
Burkert 1985	55	The most noble sacrificial animal is the ox, especially the bull; the most common is the sheep, then the goat and the pig; the cheapest is the piglet. The sacrifice of poultry is also common, but other birds such as geese and pigeons, as well as fish, are rare.
Burkert 1985	56-57	The procedure of sacrifice, from preparation to the meal, is described here in detail. This (as summarised at 58-59) involves adornment of attendees and the animal; carrying of the basket, water vessel, incense burner and torches; leading of the animals; $\ddot{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ by pouring water over the hands of all, $\kappa\alpha\tau\dot{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ by praying as all hurl barley at the animal and altar, $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}\rho\chi\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ by cutting hair from the animal's forehead and burning it, the slaughter with the shrill cry of the women, collecting the blood in a basin and $a\dot{\iota}\mu\dot{a}\sigma\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$ the altar with the blood; skinning, dismemberment, roasting of the $\sigma\pi\lambda\dot{a}\gamma\chi\nu\alpha$ then the rest; wine libations; distribution of the meat.
Burkert 1985	61	Sacrifice without fire, or fire without sacrifice, is rare.
Burkert 1985	62	To throw a granule of frankincense into the flames is the most widespread, simplest, and cheapest act of offering.
Burkert 1985	63	Complete burnings of the sacrificed animal was only done in the cult of the dead, and not for the typical sacrifice to a god.
Burkert 1985	68	When food offerings were given to the god at a sacrifice (eg barley, flour, broth, pancakes or cakes), it was (originally) burned, some before and some after the animal or (later) left on tables beside the altar (with pieces of meat), which fell to the priest.
Burkert 1985	69	A voting offering might be prompted (for the individual) by eg sickness or a sea voyage or (for the community) famine, plague or war; the $\epsilon \dot{v}\chi\dot{\eta}$ (out loud, in front of as many witnesses as possible) promised sacrifice or first offerings, or the setting up $\dot{a}va\theta\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\tau\alpha$ of in a temple, or the handing over of items to the temple if something was first granted by the god.
Burkert 1985	70	The words for libation are $\lambda \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu / \lambda o \iota \beta \acute{\eta}$, $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu / \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \acute{\eta}$, and $\chi \acute{\epsilon} \omega / \chi o \acute{\eta}$. $\lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \beta \epsilon \iota \nu / \lambda o \iota \beta \acute{\eta}$ are poetic. $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu / \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \acute{\eta}$ are associated with wine, and $\chi \acute{\epsilon} \omega / \chi o \acute{\eta}$ with honey, oil and water, although this distinction is not absolute; furthermore, $\sigma \pi \acute{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \nu / \sigma \pi o \nu \delta \acute{\eta}$ refer to controlled pourings from a jug or hand-held bowl whereas $\chi \acute{\epsilon} \omega / \chi o \acute{\eta}$ refer to complete emptyings, either from a hand-held vessel or

Reference	Page	Note
		from one sitting on the ground.
Burkert 1985	71	The cry $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ $\sigma \pi o \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ may introduce any sacrificial act.
Burkert 1985	71	To conclude a $\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\dot{\eta}$, wine is poured over the flames on the altar.
Burkert 1985	72	Although not drinkable, oil (instead of wine or honey) can be used for a $\sigma\pi\sigma\nu\delta\dot{\eta}$.
Burkert 1985	73	A ritual without a prayer, or a prayer without a ritual, is rare; if a procession to the sanctuary is organised in connection with a prayer, it is called a $i\kappa\epsilon\sigma i\alpha$.
Burkert 1985	73-74	The 2 words for prayer are $\epsilon \dot{v} \chi \dot{\eta}$ and $\dot{a} \rho \dot{a}$; the latter can also be a curse.
Burkert 1985	74-75	A prayer begins with a request "hear!", then the name of the deity, and then the heaping of epithets followed by "with whatever name it pleases you to be called"; next, the god's favoured dwelling place or places (from which the god will come) are defined; next, the justification for calling on the god (either earlier proofs of either the god coming to the suppliant, or the suppliant performing pleasing works for the god), are described; the assurance "you are able" is slipped in; finally, the entreaty is made simply and is usually accompanied by a promise.
Burkert 1985	75	The gesture of entreaty is outstretched arms; to the sky with up-turned palms or (to call a sea god) extended out to the sea, or (before a cult image) extended to the image or (to call a god of the underworld) the earth is hammered with fists.
Burkert 1985	78	$\dot{a}\gamma\nu\dot{o}s$ means not being defiled through contact with sex, birth, death or murder.
Burkert 1985	79	If someone close to you dies, you must defile yourself with dirt, ash and dirty clothes, and anyone who visits you must (on leaving) sprinkle water on themselves.
Burkert 1985	95	Anyone can sacrifice, including housewives and slaves; a priest isn't necessary.
Burkert 1985	99	A $\pi o \mu \pi \eta$ is a procession towards a $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ (see Burkert 1985 page 86 on $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$); the animals to be sacrificed are part of the $\pi o \mu \pi \eta$, and the participants carry twigs and adorn themselves with garlands and woolen fillets.
Burkert 1985	100	A cult image isn't usually brought along in a $\pi o \mu \pi \dot{\eta}$.
Burkert 1985	107	Eating and drinking is always a part of a Greek ritual.
Burkert 1985	109-110	If someone enters an abnormal psychic state in a ritual, that person is $\epsilon \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma s$, and experiences $\epsilon \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma s$ (a type of $\mu \alpha \nu i \alpha$), and the god $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \chi \epsilon s$ the person.
(b) Sanctua	ıry	
Burkert 1985	85	Most sanctuaries have a special tree.
Burkert 1985	86	A sanctuary often has an ἄλσος in it or next to it; a grazing-area for the horses and animals of the participants of the ritual.
Burkert 1985	86	Most sanctuaries have a spring or fountain.
Burkert 1985	86	The word for sanctuary is $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma$; marked off by either a wall or inscribed boundary stones.
Burkert 1985	86	There is usually only one entrance to a $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, where water basins for purification are set up.
Burkert 1985	87	Nothing is allowed in the $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$ which could cause $\mu i \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha$, such as sex, birth or death (including graves).
Burkert 1985	87	The most essential element of a $\tau \in \mu \in \nu os$ is the $\beta \omega \mu \acute{o}s$, made of stones, ash or bone, sometimes having a step or two, and topped with a metal tablet on which the fire burns.
Burkert 1985	91	In the centre of a temple was the $\nu\alpha\delta$ s, where the cult statue (originally carved wood, a $\xi\delta\alpha\nu\delta\nu$; in the 5th century, gold and ivory) was set on a pedestal; next to it is a table of offerings, incense stands, and sometimes an ever-burning lamp; the room is lit by a high doorway facing the east; sometimes the $\nu\alpha\delta$ s has behind it an $\alpha\delta\delta\nu\delta$ which only a few may enter.

		2. Those on Grown and Term content
Reference	Page	Note
Burkert 1985	92	Sacrifice is performed at the altar looking east, with the temple behind.
Burkert 1985	91	Many of the statues by the famous sculptors were gifts to a temple, since the cult images were already in existence.
Burkert 1985	93	Implements of sacrifice, eg vessels, spits, axes and above all tripods, were common gifts to temples as $\dot{a}\nu a\theta \dot{\epsilon}\mu a\tau a$.
(c) Aphrod	ite	
Page 1955	126-128	Sappho calls Aphrodite by 4 names: Κυθέρηα, Κύπρις, Κυπρογένηα and Άφροδίτα.
Page 1955	8	$\sigma \tau \rho o \hat{v} \theta o \iota$ were associated with Aphrodite's power; their flesh and their eggs were treated as aphrodisiacs.
Burkert 1985	51	Aphrodite has not yet been identified in Linear B.
Burkert 1985	52	For Aphrodite, the sanctuary at Paphos on Cyprus was the centre and origin of her cult.
Burkert 1985	52	The use of frankincense, which was always known in Greek by its Semitic name, has a special association with Aphrodite.
Burkert 1985	62	Incense offerings and altars are particularly associated with the cult of Aphrodite (and of Adonis).
Burkert 1985	65	The favoured sacrificial animals for Aphrodite are doves. [But see Rosenz. 2004 page 62.]
Burkert 1985	120	There are 2 accounts of the birth of Aphrodite: as offspring of Ouranos or of Zeus and Dione.
Burkert 1985	124	When represented in art, a he-goat may appear next to her (like Hermes and Dionysus).
Burkert 1985	124	The plant associated with Aphrodite is myrtle (this is also associated with Demeter however).
Burkert 1985	152	Aphrodite is associated with " $E\rho\omega_S$ (her son), or desire and " $I\mu\epsilon\rho\sigma_S$, or yearning.
Burkert 1985	152	Aphrodite is connected with the Semitic goddess of love, Ishtar-Astarte.
Rosenz. 2004	19	Any of Aphrodite's powers could be invoked at any of her cult sites.
Rosenz. 2004	22	When Aphrodite appears at the wedding of Paris and Helen, she is acting in her role of Pandemos to bring people together.
Rosenz. 2004	30	Before Aphrodite's cult even reached the Grk mainland, she wsa honoured as a vegetal deity on Paphos as Hierokepia: the equivalent of
		the Athenian title <i>en Kepois</i> .
Rosenz. 2004	39	Aphrodite's sanctuaries throughout Greece were usually simple and outdoors: any temples were simple and unpretentious.
Rosenz. 2004	61	Of all animals sacrificed to Aphrodite, the sheep and especially the goat were the most common.
Rosenz. 2004	62	Occasionally, but not commonly, the blood of a dove was offered to Aphrodite for purification.
Rosenz. 2004	62	Bloodless sacrifices offered to Aphrodite (at the Ourania shrine in Athens) included votive plaques, terracotta figurines, phallic-shaped
		stones, fruit and honey-cakes.
Rosenz. 2004	73	Eros is almost always depicted with Aphrodite in art, when she is bringing people together (in her role as Pandemos).
Rosenz. 2004	75	Aphrodite riding on a goat was a common theme in Greek art; this was used for her whether in her role as Pandemos or as Ourania. [Also
		see Rosenz. 2004 pg 79.]
Rosenz. 2004	79	Aphrodite was also depicted riding on a goat outside Athens, eg in a sculpture of Skopas and in a Spartan relief. [See Rosenz. 2004 pg 75.]
Rosenz. 2004	77	Wives, brides and hetairai desired that Aphrodite should watch over their relationships with men, ie over $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho o \delta i \sigma \iota \alpha$.
Rosenz. 2004	77	The thymiaterion, used for burning incense, was a tool used in worshipping Aphrodite.
	TOROTT	CED FOR WILLIAM NOWER

REFERENCES USED FOR THESE NOTES

Burkert 1985: Walter Burkert, Greek Religion: Archaic and Classical, translated by John Raffan, Blackwell, Oxford: 1985.

Rosenz. 2004: Rachel Rosenzweig, Worshipping Aphrodite: Art and Cult in Classical Athens, University of Michigan: 2004.

3. Sappho glossary with notes

Here are notes collected from the Sappho commentators on some Aeolic words. If you want a full Sappho or Aeolic glossary for composing, the best is in Hamm 1957 (Sappho's and Alcaeus' words consolidated into one glossary). If you want Sappho's words separated out from Alcaeus', use the glossaries in Lobel and Page 1955.

ἀθανάτ'	Page 1955 page 5: $\dot{a}\theta \dot{a}\nu a\tau o\iota$, both adjective and substantive, is often	ἔπλετ'	Lobel 1927 page 39: it is unclear whether or not this has a different sense
	applies to the Olympian gods as a class, but the singular $\dot{\alpha}\theta\dot{\alpha}\nu\alpha\tau\sigma$ s is rarely	,	from $\hat{\eta}_S$ (3rd person imperfect from $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\mu\nu$).
,, ,	applied as an adjective to an individual.	ἐστι	Lobel 1927 pages 37-39: this word isn't used in the 3rd person present as a
<i>ἀθανάτωι</i>	See note on ἀθανάτ'.		copula by the Lesbian poets: if Lesbian does express the copula, it uses
<i>ἀίοισα</i>	Lobel 1955 page 7: probably an aorist form. Also see note on ἄκουαι.	7/	π έλεται (singular) or π έλονται (plural), rather than έστι or είσι.
ἄκουαι	Lobel 1927 page 44: there are 3 verbs used by the Lesbian poets for "hear":	εὔμαρες	Page 1955 page 53: this can be followed by an infinitive.
	the most general is ἀκούην; ἄιον (and its compounds ϵ ί σ - and ϵ π -) mean	<i>έχει</i>	Lobel 1927 page 65: the agrist of this verb has the suffix $-\sigma\kappa\epsilon\theta$ o- rather
	"catch hearing of" (always in the aorist), and ἔκλυον means "give ear to"		than $-\sigma \chi o$ -, and so $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \theta o \nu$ is correct whereas $\tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \chi o \nu$ is not.
	(also always in the aorist).	<i>έχεν</i>	See note on ἔχει.
ἄνεμοι	See note on $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s.	^ε χη	See note on ἔχει.
ἄνεμος	Lobel 1927 pages 33-34: there are 3 words for "wind" used by the Lesbian	^ε χηις	See note on ἔχει.
	poets. The most general term is $\ddot{a}\nu\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s, $\pi\nu\dot{\sigma}a$ is more specific (the	<i>ἔχηισθ</i> α	See note on ἔχει.
	blowing of the wind), and $\ddot{a}\eta\tau\alpha\iota$ are blasts or hurricanes.	^ε χην	See note on ἔχει.
αὐτάρ	Lobel 1927 page 67: this is likely a non-Lesbian word.	<i>ἔχοιεν</i>	See note on ἔχει.
ἄωρος	See note on $"u\pi\nu\nu\nu$.	ἔχοισ'	See note on ἔχει.
γâs	Lobel 1927 page 22: this is the true Lesbian form of the noun, whereas	^ε χοισα	See note on ἔχει.
	γαία comes from another dialect. Lobel 1927 page 35-36: this word most	θάλασσαν	Lobel 1927 page 36 footnote 1: there are 3 words used for "sea" in the
	commonly means "land and sea", i.e. "earth", but (less commonly, and		Lesbian poets: 2 of the words ($\theta \acute{a} \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$ and $\pi \acute{o} \nu \tau \sigma s$) belong to the Lesbian
	apparently only in Alcaeus) can mean "country", i.e. the land belonging to		dialect, however it is unclear whether or not the 3rd form ἄλς comes from
	a particular set of people. To express the "land" only (in contrast to the		another dialect.
	sea), $\chi\theta\dot{\omega}\nu$ is used.	θέλετ'	See note on $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$.
δεῦρο	Lobel 1927 pages 44-45: this word and its plural $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$ are never followed	$\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$	See note on $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$.
	by a verb of motion, and are used as imperatives; $\tau v i \delta \epsilon$, on the other hand,	θέλοι	See note on $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$.
	is not always used in a summons (and if it is, the summons has a more	θέλω	Lobel 1927 page 63: this verb never has initial €- in Sappho (unless, of
	formal tone), and is always goes with a motion verb.		course, as a temporal augment).
$\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$	See note on $\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho o$.	θέω	Lobel 1927 page 40: the agrist of $\tau i\theta \eta \mu \iota$ has the same meaning as $\pi \delta \eta \mu \mu \iota$,
δηὖτε	Page 1955 page 13: $\delta \eta \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$ often is used to express indignation or		i. e. "to do".
	impatience in the mind of the questioner.	κόρα	Lobel 1927 page 35: this word, as well as meaning "girl", also can mean
διώκων	Page 1955 page 15: this verb means not merely to run after somebody, but		"daughter" in Sappho: θυγάτηρ is never used by the Lesbian poets (see note
	to run after someone who is running away.		on πάις).
ἔκλυες	Lobel 1955 page 7: probably an aorist form. Also see note on ἄκουαι.	κῶμα	Page 1955 page 37: this word means not simply sleep, but sleep induced
ἔλπις	Lobel 1927 page 37: consistently with Homer, this word is not used as a		by enchantment or other special or supernatural means. Also, see note on
	predicate in Sappho (in Sappho it occurs once as the subject of a verb; in		ὔπνον.
	Homer it occurs once only in an oblique case.) $\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\pi\omega\rho\alpha$ is the form used as	νῶν	Lobel 1927 page 32: this monosyllabic form is the true Lesbian form; the
	a predicate, in Alcaeus as in Homer (not found in Sappho).		dialect probably didn't admit the 2-syll form νόον.
		•	-

οὐ(κ) Page 1955 page 11: this form is common in the Lesbians, but οὐκί doesn't

occur.

παῖSee note on πάις.παῖδ'See note on πάις.παῖδαSee note on πάις.παῖδεςSee note on πάις.παῖδοςSee note on πάις.παῖςSee note on πάις.

πάις Lobel 1927 page 34: this word, as well as meaning "child" (of either sex),

has also displaced the words for "son" and "daughter": \hat{v} occurs only once (in Alcaeus), and never in Sappho; $\theta v \gamma \hat{a} \tau \eta \rho$ doesn't appear in either poet.

Also see note on κόρα.

πέλεται See note on ἐστι.

πόντον See note on θάλασσαν. πόντωι See note on θάλασσαν.

σὰν Lobel 1927 page 36: the only word used by Sappho for "your" is σός, σά;

never $\tau \acute{\epsilon}$ os.

 $\sigma \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ See note on $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \nu$.

στήθεσιν Lobel 1927 page 36: this word in the plural usually has its non-physical

sense (although Sappho once uses it in its physical sense). In the singular, Alcaeus follows Homeric usage in giving the word its physical sense "chest"; the singular form isn't found in what survives of Sappho.

ταχέως Page 1955 page 10: this can mean "soon". τεθυμιάμενοι Page 1955 page 36: this verb takes the dative.

τυίδε See note on $δε \hat{v}ρο$.

ἴδωρ Lobel 1927 page 37: \dot{v} - would probably have been treated as short by

Sappho (since it was probably short in the vernacular), but Alcaeus

sometimes treat it as short, sometimes long.

ὖπαδεδρόμακεν Page 1955 page 25: this verb takes the dative case.

ἴπνον Lobel 1927 page 33: there are 3 words for "sleep" in Sappho: ἴπνος, κῶμα

and $\alpha\omega\rho\sigma$ s. $\nu\pi\nu\sigma$ s is the most general term. See note on $\kappa\omega\mu\alpha$ for its specific meaning. The exact sense of $\omega\rho\sigma$ s (in comparison to these words)

is not clear.

φιλότατα Page 1995 page 10: this may mean "friendship" or "love" in Sappho, as in

Homer.

φρέν' Lobel 1927 page 36: the singular of φρήν means "wits", i.e. consciousness,

whereas the plural expresses the emotions.

φρένα See note on φρέν'. φρέναs See note on φρέν'.

4. My localisation data for Book 1

These are the results of my localisation analysis of words or word groups (eg word + enclitic) having (a) at least one – syll, and (b) having 2 to 4 sylls. Words are scanned in their context, eg Δi 0s is naturally \sim , but in line 1.2 it scans as \sim – because it's followed by a consonant; thus, Δi 0s appears in the \sim – table below, table (b). This also applies to words ending at position 11: eg $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\sigma$ 0s is naturally \sim , but in line 2.4 it scans as – because line 2.5 begins with a consonant; thus, $\ddot{\alpha}\lambda\sigma$ 0s appears in the – table below, table (d).

You can use table (a) if you have a word you need to place (table (a) tells you where words of that shape commonly go). On the other hand, you can use tables (b) and following if you have a gap in your line and need to fill it (these tables show you how Sappho filled those gaps).

(a) Localisation summary

Shape	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ∨	7 ∽	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
(b) ∨ −		5 of 30		4 of 30			19 of 30		2 of 30		Nil			Nil		
(c)	24 of 79		7 of 79		11 of 79			12 of 79		14 of 79		5 of 79			6 of 79	
(d)			9 of 57	18 of 57						27 of 57	Nil				3 of 57	
(e) ∨ ∨ −						17 of 17							Nil			
(f)		2 of 33		6 of 33			13 of 33		10 of 33		Nil			2 of 33		
$(g) \mathrel{\smile}$		6 of 44							35 of 44					3 of 44		
(h) − ∨ ∨					8 of 12							4 of 12				
(i) - ∪ -	26 of 31		3 of 31							2 of 31						
(j) ∪				7 of 8							1 of 8					
(k)			6 of 7							1 of 7						
(1) ~ ~ - ~						9 of 15							6 of 15			
$(m) \mathrel{{\scriptstyle \smile}} \mathrel{{\scriptstyle \smile}} \mathrel{{\scriptstyle -}} \mathrel{{\scriptstyle -}}$													6 of 6			
$(n) \mathrel{\smile} - \mathrel{\smile} \mathrel{\smile}$				2 of 2							Nil					
(o) ~		2 of 2							Nil							
(p) – ∪ ∪ –					8 of 8							Nil				
$(q)-\smile-\smile$	5 of 11							6 of 11								
(r)	3 of 6							3 of 6								
(s)				3 of 3							Nil					
(t)			2 of 2							Nil						

(b) \sim – (iamb)

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ~	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.2		Δίος														
1.7							δόμον									
1.9							κάλοι									
1.17							$ heta\epsilon\lambda\omega$									
1.19		ἄγην														
1.23				φίλει												
2.7		ὔδωρ														
5.3							$ heta\epsilon\lambda\eta$									
5.6							χάραν									
5.9							$ heta\epsilon\lambda o\iota$									
5.19							κάκαν									
7.5							ὄαν									
16.3							<i>ἔγω</i>									
16.9				$\ddot{\epsilon}eta a$												
16.10							$\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$									
16.18							ϊδην									
22, 9-19. 3							$\pi \acute{o} heta$ os									
22, 9-19. 6							ἔγω									
27.4							πάϊς									
27. 12				őδος												
31.1							ἴσος									
31.7				ϊδω												
31.11							ὄρημμ'									
31.13									τρόμος							
33.1		ἔ γω														
34. 1							κάλαν									
37. 1							<i></i> έμον									
39. 1									πόδα<ς	>						
40. 1		["] έγω														
160.2							κάλως									
		5 of 30)	4 of 30)		19 of 3	0	2 of 30)	Nil			Nil		

$(c) - \smile (trochee)$

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.4															θῦμον	
1.5	ἀλλὰ															
1.8															$\hat{\eta}\lambda heta\epsilon$ s	
1.9										ἆγον						
1.11	πύκνα															
1.11								ώράνο	o (crasis)							
1.13	αἶψα															
1.15	ἤρϵ'															
1.15			ὄττι													
1.15					δηὖτ∈											
1.15										κὤττι						
1.16												δηὖτε				
1.18								δηὖτ∈								
1.22			δῶρα													
1.22								ἀλλὰ								
1.25										λῦσον						
1.26					ὄσσα											
1.27	θῦμος															
1.28															ἔ σσο	
2.3	$\delta \epsilon \hat{v} \rho \acute{v}$															
2.3										ναῦον						
2.4	ἄγνον															
2.10												κῶμα				
2.15	$\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda heta\epsilon$															
2.17										νέκταρ						
4.1										$ heta \hat{v} \mu o u$						
4.2										πάμπα	ν					
5.5								πάντα	ι							
5.6					Foîσι											
5.7										ἄμμι						
15.12															$\hat{\dot{\eta}}\lambda heta\epsilon$	
16.7	κάλλος	3														

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
16. 10					οὐδὲ											
16. 17										βᾶμα						
16.18					λάμπρ	οου										
17. 2	πότνι'															
17.6	πρῶτα															
17. 13	ἄγνα															
18.3	γλῶσσ	a														
19.6										<i>ϊ</i> δμεν						
20.10								κἀπὶ								
21.6								γῆρας								
21.12										ἄμμι						
22, 9-19. 3			ἆς σε													
22, 9-19. 3					$\delta \eta \hat{\vec{v}} \tau \epsilon$											
22, 9-19. 10	τοῦτο															
24. 3			ἄμμες													
27. 5								ταῦτα								
27.6								$\tau\hat{\omega}\delta\epsilon$								
27.9					ὄττι											
30.7	στεῖχε															
30.8	ἤπ∈ρ															
30.8			ὄσσον													
30.9												ὔπνον				
31.1					κῆνος											
31.2	<i>ἔμμεν</i> '															
31.2					ὄττις											
31.3								$\hat{a}\delta v$								
31.7								ὤς με								
31.8												οὖδεν				
31.9	ἀλλὰ															
31.9					γλῶσο	τα										
31.11					οὖδε <i>ν</i>											
31.14	παῖσαι	,														
31.15	ἔ μμι															

Line no.	1 –	2 -	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ~	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
31.16												φαίνομ	ι'			
31.17	ἀλλὰ															
32.1	αἴ με															
32.1										<i>ἔργα</i>						
33.2	τόνδ€															
34. 1					ἀμφὶ											
34.2										εἶδος						
34.4															παῖσαι	
35.1			Κύπρο	25												
39.3															<i>ἕργον</i>	
40.1								βῶμοι	,							
41.1										τὧμον						
42.1	ταῖσι															
129, 2. 1								ἤ τιν'								
	24 of 79)	7 of 79	0	11 of	70		12 of 7	79	14 of 79)	5 of 79)		6 of 79	
(d) (s	pondee)											ı				
(d) – – (s			7 01 7	,	11 01	19						ı				
Line no.		2 ~	3 –	4 ⊻	5-	6 ∨	7 ∨	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
Line no.	pondee)			4≌	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∨	10 – δάμνα	11 ≃	ı		14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.3 1.6	pondee)				5 –		7 ∨		9 ∨	δάμνα	11 ≃	ı		14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.3 1.6 1.6	pondee)			4 ≥ aĭδas	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∨	10 – δάμνα πήλοι	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨	15-	16 ≃
1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο	5 –		7 ∨		9 ~	δάμνα	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨	15 –	16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10	pondee)			4 ≥ aĭδas	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∪	δάμνα	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16≌
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12	pondee)			4 ≥ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∨	δάμνα	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨	15 – μέσσω	16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∪	δάμνα πήλοι	11 ≃	ı		14 ~		16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ θύμωι	5 –		7 ~		9 0	δάμνα	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18 1.21	pondee)			4 ≥ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ	5 –		7 ∨		9 ~	δάμνα πήλοι Πείθω	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16 ⊭
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18 1.21 1.22	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ θύμωι	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∪	δάμνα πήλοι Πείθω δώσει	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18 1.21 1.22 1.27	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ θύμωι φεύγε	5 –		7~		9 ~	δάμνα πήλοι Πείθω	11 ×	ı		14 ∨		16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18 1.21 1.22 1.27 2.3	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ θύμωι	5 –		7 ~		9 ~	δάμνα πήλοι Πείθω δώσει αὔτα	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18 1.21 1.22 1.27 2.3 2.4	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ θύμωι Φεύγε	5 –		7 ∨		9 ∪	δάμνα πήλοι Πείθω δώσει	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16 ≃
Line no. 1.3 1.6 1.6 1.7 1.10 1.12 1.18 1.18 1.21 1.22 1.27 2.3	pondee)			4 ≃ αὔδας πάτρο στροῦ θύμωι φεύγε	5 –		7~		9 0	δάμνα πήλοι Πείθω δώσει αὔτα	11 ≃	ı		14 ∨		16 ≃

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
2.7										ὔσ<δ>ωι						
2.9										φύλλω	ν					
2.11			λείμων													
2.16										ἄ<β>ρω	< \$>					
3.3				κἄσλα	υ											
3.8				οὔτω												
5.5										λῦσα[ι						
5.10				τίμας												
5.10										λύγραν						
16.1										πέσδωι	,					
16.2			νάων													
16.11										αὔταν						
16.19			Λύδων													
17.2			"Ηρα													
19.7										<i></i> έργων						
20.8										ναῦται						
20.10										χέρσω						
21.6										ἤδη						
22, 9-19.6										χαίρω						
22, 9-19.7			αὔτα													
23.11										ὄχθοις						
23.5				ξάνθα												
23.7				θνάτα												
27.5				μέλπε	$\sigma\theta$ '											
31.2			ὤνηρ													
31.7										φώνησ	•					
31.8															ϵἴκϵι	
31.9										λέπτον						
31.13			ἴδρως													
31.14			ἄγρ€ι													
31.14										ποίας						
31.16															αὔται	
32.2			δοῖσαι													

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ~	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
34.3										λάμπηι						
39.2				μάσλη	ıs											
40. 1				λεύκας	3											
40. 1										αἶγος						
41.1				$\mathring{v}\mu\mu u$												
42. 1				ψῦχρο	95											
42. 1										θῦμος						
123.1										Αὔως						
129, 2. 1										ἄλλον						
			9 of 57	18 of 3	57					27 of 5	7 Nil				3 of 57	
(e) U U —	(anapaes	t)														
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.21						ταχέω										
1.23						ταχέω										
1.25						χαλέτ										
1.27						τέλεσ										
2.4						χάριε										
2.7						κελάδ										
16.5						σύνετ										
16.7						Έλέν										
16.9						Τροΐα	ν									
16.21						δύνατ										
21.3						ὄλοφι										
21.8						πέτατ										
23.5						Έλέν	αι									
31.15						ὀλίγω)									
36.1						μάομο	ιι									
37.3						ἄνεμο	ι									
129, 2. 2						<i>ἔμεθε</i>	ν									

(f) $\sim - \sim$ (amphibrachys)

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ~	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.3		ἄσαισ	·l													
1.13									μάκαι	ρα						
1.15							πέποι	νθα								
1.16														κάλημ	μι	
1.17				μάλισ	τα											
2.8				βρόδο	οισι											
2.11									τέθαλ	€						
2.13				πνέοι	σι											
2.15							^ε λοισ	α								
3.7				ἄσαιο												
4.7									πρόσο	υπον						
5.7							γένοι	то								
5.11				őτοισ	ι											
7.5									νέοισ	ı						
16.2									μέλαι	$[\nu]a\nu$						
16.11							παρά	γαγ'								
16.19									ὄπλοι	σι						
20.13							τὰ φά	ρτι'								
21.11									λάβοι	ισα						
21.12							ἄεισο	ν								
21.14									μάλισ	τα						
22, 9-19. 2							λάβο	ισα								
22, 9-19.6				ίδοισο	ιν											
27.9							τάχισ	τα								
27.11														<i>ἔχοιεν</i>		
31.1									θέοισι	ν						
31.9							<i>ἔαγ</i> ε									
34.2							φάενι	νον								
34.3							μάλιο	τα								
41.1							νόημι	ια								
42.1							<i>ἔγεντ</i>	o								
42.2		ἵεισι														

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
129, 2. 2		2 of 3	33	6 of 3	3		13 of	33	φίλησ 10 of		Nil			2 of 33	3	
(g)	- (bacchiu	ıs)										·				
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.7									λίποιο							
1.10									μελαί							
1.14									προσύ							
1.17									γένεσ							
1.21									διώξε	ι						
1.23									φιλήσ	·€ι						
1.26		μερίμ	ναν													
1.26									τέλεσ	σαι						
2.8									ỏ χῶρ	os						
2.10														κατέρμ)< <i>El</i> >	
2.12									ἄηται							
4.3									δύναμ	αι						
5.2									ϊκεσθα	ι [ι						
5.3									γένεσ	θαι						
5.6									γένεσ	θαι						
5.9									πόησθ	θαι						
5.11									ἀχεύω							
5.14									πολίτ							
16.4														<i></i> έραται		
16.5									πόησο	ıı						
16.10									το[κ]ή							
16.18									προσύ							
16.21									γένεσ							
16.22									ἄρασθ							
17. 10		Θυώι	νας						.,							
20. 5		_ 3002	-						κρέτη	ται						
20.6									μελαί							
20.9									ἀήται							

21.8	Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 ~	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
23.5 27.6	21.8									διώκων							-
27.6 ζάλεξαι	21.10									ἀγαύας							
27.7 30.6 \$\frac{\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\$ 31.12 \$\frac{\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\$ 31.12 \$\frac{\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\$ 33.2 \$\frac{\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\sigma}}{\sigma}\$ 33.1 \$\frac{\chi_{\sigma}\chi_{\si	23.5									<i>ἐίσ[κ]ην</i>	,						
30.6 εγέρθει 31.15 γελαίσ αςς 31.12 α ακουαι 31.15 γελαίσ αςς 31.11 ποθήμο 31.11 ποθήμο 4 το φέρουν 4 ταίραις 4 ταίραις 4 ταίραις 4 το πότυια 5 το πότοια 6 το πότοια 7 τ	27.6		ζάλεξ	αι													
31.5 yeλaiσ as> 31.12	27.7														χάρισο	ται	
31.12 31.15 31.15 33.2 33.2 34.1 35.1 36.1 ποθήω 37.3 160.1 160.2 6 of 44 35 of 44 35 of 44 35 of 44 3 of 44 (h) - ~ (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 ~ 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 ~ 7 ~ 8 - 9 ~ 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 ~ 14 ~ 15 - 16 × 14 ~ 15 - 16 × 18 ~ 18 ~ 18 ~ 18 ~ 18 ~ 18 ~ 18 ~ 18	30.6		ἐ γέρθο	€l													
31.15 33.2 34.1 34.1 35.1 36.1 ποθήω 37.3 160.1 160.2 6 of 44 35 of 44 35 of 44 3 of 44 (h) - ~ (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 ~ 7 ~ 8 - 9 ~ 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 ~ 14 ~ 15 - 16 × 11 × 12 + 12 + 13 ~ 14 ~ 15 - 16 × 11 × 12 + 13 ~ 14 ~ 15 -	31.5		γελαίο	σ(ας)													
33.2 34.1 35.1 36.1 ποθήω 37.3 160.1 160.2 6 of 44 (h) (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 - 16 - 18 1.5 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 2.12 3.6 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7 3.7	31.12									ἄκουαι							
34.1 35.1 36.1 ποθήω 37.3 160.1 160.2 6 of 44 (h) - ν (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 ν 3 - 4 ν 5 - 6 ν 7 ν 8 - 9 ν 10 - 11 ν 12 - 13 ν 14 ν 15 - 16 ν 1.4 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12 3προσου 5.5 3προσου 6-14.10 16.19 3προσου 3προσου 4προσου 4προ	31.15									'πιδεύη	S						
35.1 36.1 ποθήω 37.3 160.1 160.2 6 of 44 (h) - ν ν (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 ν 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 ν 7 ν 8 - 9 ν 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 ν 14 ν 15 - 16 × 11 × 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12 3.69 3.6 ν γ ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν ν	33.2									λαχοίηι	,						
36.1 ποθήω 37.3 160.1 160.2 6 of 44 (h) - ~ ~ (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 ~ 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 ~ 7 ~ 8 - 9 ~ 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 ~ 14 ~ 15 - 16 × 1.1 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12 3 ωθεσιν 5.5 6 αμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 3 αμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 3 αμβροτε 42.2 4 πλάτου 31.6 στήθετιν τὰ πτέρα	34. 1									σελάννι	αν						
37.3 $φέροιεν$ $ξταίραις$ $δείσω$ 35 of 44 3 of 44 3 of 44 15 - 16 × 160.2 $δείσω$ 3.3 of 44 3 of 44 3 of 44 15 - 16 × 1.1 $δείσω$ 1.1 $δείσω$ 1.2 $δείσω$ 1.3 $δείσω$ 1.5 $δείσω$ 1.5 $δείσω$ 1.5 $δείσω$ 1.8 $δείσω$ 1.28 $δείσω$ 1.28 $δείσω$ 1.28 $δείσω$ 1.28 $δείσω$ 1.29 $δείσω$ 1.29 $δείσω$ 1.30 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.40 $δείσω$ 1.51 $δείσω$ 1.51 $δείσω$ 1.51 $δείσω$ 1.52 $δείσω$ 1.53 $δείσω$ 1.54 $δείσω$ 1.55 $δείσω$ 1.57 $δείσω$ 1.58 $δείσω$ 1.59 $δείσω$ 1.59 $δείσω$ 1.29 $δείσω$ 1.29 $δείσω$ 1.29 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.32 $δείσω$ 1.33 $δείσω$ 1.34 $δείσω$ 1.35 $δείσω$ 1.35 $δείσω$ 1.36 $δείσω$ 1.37 $δείσω$ 1.38 $δείσω$ 1.39 $δείσω$ 1.39 $δείσω$ 1.39 $δείσω$ 1.30 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.31 $δείσω$ 1.32 $δείσω$ 1.33 $δείσω$ 1.34 $δείσω$ 1.35 $δεί$	35. 1									Πάνορμ	ios						
160.1 160.2 $\frac{1}{6064}$ $\frac{1}{6064}$ $\frac{1}{6064}$ $\frac{1}{6064}$ $\frac{1}{6064}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{6064}$ $\frac{1}{1}$ $$	36. 1		ποθήω	υ													
160.2 (h) - ~ (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 · 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 · 7 · 8 - 9 · 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 · 14 · 15 - 16 × 1.1 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12 5.5 6 i μβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 3 i πότυα 3 i πότου 3 i πότου 3 i πότου 42.2 1.6 i στήθεσιν 42.2	37.3									φέροιεν							
(h) - ~ · (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 · 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 · 7 · 8 - 9 · 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 · 14 · 15 - 16 × 1.1 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12 3 άθεσιν 5.5 6-14.10 16.19 3 άρματα 31.3 3 1.3 3 πλάσιον 31.6 42.2 1.3 πότερα 3 of 44 4 of ποι με											5						
(h) - ~ ~ (dactyl) Line no. 1 - 2 ~ 3 - 4 × 5 - 6 ~ 7 ~ 8 - 9 ~ 10 - 11 × 12 - 13 ~ 14 ~ 15 - 16 × 1.4 1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12 Δύθεσιν 5.5 Δίηροτε 6-14.10 16.19 Δρματα 31.3 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα	160.2																
Line no.			6 of 4	4						35 of 44	1				3 of 44	1	
Line no.																	
1.1 ἀθανάτ΄ 1.4 πότνια 1.5 αἴ ποτα 1.8 1.28 2.12 ἄνθεσιν 5.5 ἄμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα	$(h) - \circ \circ (e$	dactyl)															
1.4 1.5 αἴ ποτα 1.8 1.28 2.12 ἄνθεσιν 5.5 ἄμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα	Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ~	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.5 1.8 1.28 2.12						ἀθανό	άτ'										
1.8 1.28 2.12 ἄνθεσιν 5.5 ἄμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα													πότνι	a			
1.28 2.12 ἄνθεσιν 5.5 ἄμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα						αἴ πο	τα										
2.12 ἄνθεσιν 5.5 ἄμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα																	
5.5 ἄμβροτε 6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα													σύμμο	ιχος			
6-14.10 16.19 ἄρματα 31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα																	
16. 19 ἄρματα 31. 3 πλάσιον 31. 6 στήθεσιν 42. 2 τὰ πτέρα						ἄμβρ	от€										
31.3 πλάσιον 31.6 στήθεσιν 42.2 τὰ πτέρα													πότνι	α			
31.6 42.2 στήθεσιν τὰ πτέρα																	
42. 2 τὰ πτέρα						πλάσ	ιον										
·	21.6																
8 of 12 4 of 12																	
						τὰ πτ	-έρα										

(i) - - (cretic)

Line no.	1 – 2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.6	τὰς ἔμας														
1.7	ἔκλυες														
1.10	ὤκεες														
1.17	κὤττι μοι														
1.18	μαινόλαι														
1.25	<i>ἔλθ</i> ε μοι														
1.11-12									αἴθ∈ρο	S					
2.5	$\mu a \lambda i [a \nu]$														
2.8	μαλί<νω>ν														
2.9	κ‹ασ›σκιάσ‹θ'›														
2.13	μέλλιχα														
16.3	ἔ]μμεναι														
16.5		$\epsilon \H{v}\mu \alpha ho$	€\$												
17.1	πλάσιον														
17. 19	<i>ἔμμενα[ι</i>														
22, 9-19. 5	τὰν κάλαν														
22, 9-19.6	ἐπτόαισ'														
27.8	[σ]τείχομεν														
30.2	πάρθενοι														
31.3	<i>ὶ</i> σδάνει														
31.6	καρδίαν														
31.10	αὔτικα														
32.1		τιμίαι	y.												
33.2		τὸν πο	άλον												
34. 1	ἄστερες														
34.3	ὄπποτα														
39. 2	ποίκιλος														
39. 2-3									Λύδιο	y.					
41.1	ταῖς κάλαισ'														
123.1	ἀρτίως														
160.2	ταὶς ἔμαις														

26 of 31 3 of 31 (j)	S -	. 9 ∪	10 – 2 of 31 10 –	11 ≌	12 –	13 ~	14 ∨	15 –	16 ≃
Line no. $1 2 \circ$ $3 4 \cong$ $5 6 \circ$ $7 \circ$ 3.4 $\lambda \acute{\nu} \pi \eta \varsigma \ \tau \acute{\epsilon}$ 5.3 $\theta \acute{\nu} \mu \omega \langle \iota \rangle \kappa \epsilon$ 16.3 $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$	S 8 -	. 9∪	10 –	11 ≌	12 –	13 ~	14 ∨	15 –	16 ≌
Line no. $1 2 \circ$ $3 4 \cong$ $5 6 \circ$ $7 \circ$ 3.4 $\lambda \acute{\nu} \pi \eta \varsigma \ \tau \acute{\epsilon}$ 5.3 $\theta \acute{\nu} \mu \omega \langle \iota \rangle \kappa \epsilon$ 16.3 $\kappa \acute{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$	<u> </u>	9 0	10 –	11 ≌	12 –	13 ~	14 ∨	15 –	16 ≃
5.3 <i>θ</i> ύμω⟨ι⟩ κε 16.3 <i>κ</i> άλλιστον	~ 8 -	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
5.3 θύμω⟨ι⟩ κε 16.3 κάλλιστον									
16.3 κάλλιστον									
16.3-4				.,					
				ὄττω τ	ıs				
απ[π]εμπε									
31.17 τόλματον									
34.3 πλήθοισα									
160.2 τέρπουτα									
7 of 8				1 of 8					
(k) (molossus)									
Line no. $1 2 \circ$ $3 4 \cong$ $5 6 \circ$ $7 \circ$	S - 8 -	9 0	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.11 δίννεντες									
1.27									
16. 1 ἐππήων									
16. 17 βολλοίμαν									
31.3-4			φωνείο	as					
31.15 τεθνάκην									
129, 2. 2 ἀνθρώπων									
6 of 7			1 of 7						
(1) • • - •									
Line no. $1-2 \circ 3-4 \simeq 5-6 \circ 7 \circ$	S - 8 -	9 0	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.3 ὀνίαισι									
1.6 ἀίοισα									
1.19 φιλότατα									
1.20						ἀδίκησ	ι		
1.24						<i>ἐθέ</i> λοιο			
2.2						κατίοιο			

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
2.16							<i>εσσιν</i>									
2.17						θαλία	ισι									
16.17						<i></i> έρατό	ν τε									
17.4													βασίλ	ιηες		
17.8													έ δύνα:	ντο		
22, 9-19. 5						κατάχ	ωγις									
32.1						ἐπόηο	τα <i>ν</i>									
39.2						ἐκάλι	<i>πτ</i> ε									
41.2													διάμει	πτον		
						9 of 1	5						6 of 1	5		
(m) ~ ~																
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≌	5 –	6 ~	7 ~	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
2.6													∢λι>βα	ανώτω		
3.9													διάκη	ται		
16.16													παρεο	ίσας		
30. 5													ἰοκόλα	πω		
31.4													ὐπακο			
37.4													μελέδ	ωναι		
													6 of 6			
(n)																
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –		5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.2				δολόπ												
15.11				τὸ δεύ	[τ]ερον											
				2 of 2							Nil					
(o) ~																
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∨	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
30.4		ἀείδοι														
37.3		ἐπιπλ	άζοντ'													
		2 of 2							Nil							

$(p) - \cdots - (choria)$	mb))
---------------------------	-----	---

Line no.	1 –	2 -	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ∽	7 ∨	8 –	9 ∪	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.14					ἀθανά	τωι										
2.1					ὀρράν	οθ€ν										
2.11					ἰππόβ	οτος										
23.4					'Ερμιό	να										
31.5					<i>ὶμ</i> έροε	ν										
31.13					κακχέ	εται										
31.14					χλωρο	τέρα										
34.5					ἀργυρ	ία										
					8 of 8							Nil				
(q) – ∪ – ∪																
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ~	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.1	ποικιλ						<u> </u>		-							
1.1								Άφρό	διτα							
1.2								λίσσο	μαί σε							
1.5								κάτέρ	ωτα							
2.12	ἠρίνοι	σιν														
2.16	χρυσία	ιισ<ιν>														
16.6								περσκ	έθοισα							
16.18	κἀμάρ	υχμα														
31.6								ἐ πτόα	ισεν							
31.11	<i>ὀππάτ</i>	εσσι														
33. 1								Άφρό	διτα							
	5 of 1	l						6 of 1	1							
(r) – – –																
Line no.	1-	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.14	μειδια			. –		0.0	, =	0	, -	10	11 -	12	15 0	110	1.5	10 -
2.1	φαίνε:															
4.6	,	•						ἀντιλ	άμπην							
17.3	τὰν ἀρ	άταν							. ,							

37

Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	<i>7</i> ~	8 –	9 -	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
21.7								ἀμφι	βάσκ <i>ε</i> ι							
23.8								τὰν μ	<i>ερίμ</i> ναν							
	3 of 6							3 of 6	•							
(s)																
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 ~	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
5. 1				Νηρή	ιδες											
23.8				παίσα	ιν κέ με											
33.1				χρυσο	στέφαν'											
				3 of 3							Nil					
(t)																
Line no.	1 –	2 ~	3 –	4 ≃	5 –	6 ~	7 ∽	8 –	9 ~	10 –	11 ≃	12 –	13 ~	14 ~	15 –	16 ≃
1.13			έ ξίκοι	ντο												
15.10			καυχά	άσ[α]ιτο												
			2 of 2							Nil						

5. My Sapphic compositions

(a) With my notes

No. Line Notes

5. My Sapphic compositions - 1 - Άχιλῆος

The topic is Achilles asking his mother to come to him, from Iliad A. Thetis lives in the sea, therefore the tokens of her arrival (see Page 1955 page 43), I think, should be the waves of the sea washing up to Achilles on the shore, ie Thetis should come up onto the shore with the waves. Continuing the idea from Page 1955 page 43 that Thetis' arrival might only be known by her tokens, ie if Achilles didn't see her, how would she comfort him? Achilles would see the waves, instantly sense that his mother is close by, and then feel her stroke the back of his head; he wouldn't see her but would know it was her; somehow she appears behind him, like Athena grabbing his hair earlier in Iliad 1.197. Strophe 1 should follow this sequence.

Since the 1st strophe refers to the ocean, so should the last strophe: Page 1955 pages 11-12. In strophe 5 therefore, Achilles should describe his coming to the shore to call for his mother.

What should fill up the rest of the song? A lot of the Homeric structure of this scene needs to be stripped out. Sappho doesn't blame, but simply describes the facts (Page 1955 page 56). The focus should not be on Agamemnon therefore, but on Achilles: he simply should describe his grief because his $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ left. The leaving of the $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ would fit into the Sapphic theme of the comings and goings of her companions: Page 1955 page 62.

The most direct and simple contents for the middle of the song I could think of, forgetting Agamemnon and blame generally: $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha s$ leaves, Achilles feels pain, Achilles reflects on loss of his $\tau i \mu \alpha$, simple. Strophes 2, 3 and 4 fall into place if we adopt this as the structure.

- 1.1 δεῦρό μοι πόντω πεδὰ κυμάτεσσι,
- 1.2 στᾶθι δ' αὖτε, πότνια μᾶτερ, ἄγχι,

δεῦρο can stand in place of ἔλθε in a summons, because δεῦρο (unlike $\tau \nu i δε$) doesn't require a verb of motion, functioning as an imperative: Lobel 1927 pages 44-45. δεῦρο sounds better here than ἔλθε, since it initiates an -o- sound which is then echoed in the following enclitic and the genitive $\pi \acute{o}\nu \tau \omega$ (which, since it depends on $\kappa \nu \mu \acute{a}\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$, doesn't need the article: Lobel 1927 page 89). $\pi \acute{o}\tau \nu \iota a$ $\mu \mathring{\eta}\tau \epsilon \rho$ comes from Iliad 6. 264 (Hektor to his mother); this needs to be transformed however, because in Lesbian, $\mu \mathring{\eta}\tau \epsilon \rho$ is spelled $\mu \^{a}\tau \epsilon \rho$: Hamm 1957 page 150. Homeric phrases are often directly borrowed by Sappho (Hooker 1977 page 40), and so the borrowing of this phrase is appropriate. Relationship nouns (such as $\mu \acute{a}\tau \tau \rho$) don't take the article (Lobel 1927 page 84), and so $a\mathring{v}\tau \epsilon$, $\pi \acute{o}\tau \nu \iota a$ $\mu \^{a}\tau \epsilon \rho$ is better than $a\mathring{v}\tau$, \mathring{a} $\pi \acute{o}\tau \nu \iota a$ $\mu \^{a}\tau \epsilon \rho$.

1.3-4 καὶ σύ μ' αὖ ψαύσαισα κόμας ὖπίσσω, λύγρα μ' ἄερρε,

For positions 1-11, I had originally written καὶ κόμας ψαύσαισα φίλας ἐπίσσω. However, this needed to be fixed because the word junction between κόμας and ψαύσαισα involves a clash of nonvowel and semi-vowel, similar to the combination $\sigma \xi$, which ruins the euphony: Dion. of Hal. 186. To fix this, I first tried to change the verb to e.g. $\kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \omega$ "kiss", which (as it's a verb in $-\epsilon \omega$) has an athematic participle form (Lobel 1927 page 12), ie $\kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha$. This could sit in the same spot as ψαύσαισα (positions 4-6), however once we change κόμας into accusative to suit the new verb, we will have a clash between κόμαν and κύνεισα: Dion. of Hal. 188. I therefore re-arranged the line to fit a new object $\kappa\epsilon\phi\acute{a}\lambda a\nu$, creating: $\kappa a\grave{\iota}$ κύνεισ \acute{a} μοι $\kappa\epsilon\phi\acute{a}\lambda a\nu$ $\mathring{\upsilon}\pi\acute{\iota}\sigma\sigma\omega$. However, this had its own defects: it emphasises κ, which is among the worst-sounding of the non-vowels: Dion. of Hal. 104. I liked the sound generally of the original καὶ κόμας ψαύσαισα φίλας ὖπίσσω (apart from the clash already described), and so to fix the euphony of this line, I moved $\kappa \delta \mu \alpha s$ to the position of $\phi i \lambda \alpha s$ (deleting the latter), and since I thought that the most euphonious sound before ψαύσαισα would be the same diphthong αv (as the mouth doesn't need to change shape to pronounce the 1st syll of ψαύσαισα: see Dion. of Hal. 176), I began the line with καὶ σύ μ' αὖ. This has other advantages over the original formulation: (a) it initiates the v echo which recurs at the end of the line, and (b) elided $\mu \omega \iota$ indicates Achilles' possession of $\kappa \delta \mu \alpha s$, which is required in Sappho's syntax (as Thetis, not Achilles, is the subject of the verb $\psi \alpha \dot{\nu} \sigma \alpha i \sigma \alpha$): Lobel 1927 page 85. $\alpha \dot{\tilde{v}}$ recurs like $\delta \eta \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$ in Sappho 1; the unnecessary repetition shows the emotion of the speaker.

For the ending, Originally I had written the ending $\theta \hat{v} \mu o \nu \tilde{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon$ "lift the $\theta \hat{v} \mu o s$ ". However, where the subject of the verb (here Thetis) is different from the "owner" of the object (here Achilles' $\theta \hat{v} \mu o s$), the enclitic possessive is required (Lobel 1927 page 85), as I already described for the syntax of the first part of the line. To keep the imperative $\tilde{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon$ with its indirect object as possessive before it, ie μ ' $\tilde{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon$, we need to change the object (to go in positions 12-13) to a vowelending word, to avoid making position in position 13. (This elision of $\mu o \iota$ is permitted in Sappho: Lobel 1925 pages 61-62.) The verb $\tilde{\alpha} \epsilon \rho \rho \epsilon$ can also mean "take away" (see LSJ), and so the object can instead be the grief Achilles feels. $\pi \hat{\eta} \mu a$ came to mind, however this word isn't found in Sappho or Alcaeus. A form of $\lambda \acute{v} \gamma \rho o s$ is found. If we put this adjective in the neuter plural, it can act as a substantive even without the article: Lobel 1927 page 76. The v in $\lambda \acute{v} \gamma \rho a$ echoes the v in $\mathring{v} \pi \acute{v} \sigma \omega$, so this works well.

No.	Line	Notes	5. My Sapphic compositions - 1 - Άχιλῆος
2. 1	ἔννεκ' ἄμμε πόσσι κόρα λίποισα	πόσσι, as $ρ$ and $π$ can't combine: Dion. $νλ$. For $γάρ$ I substituted $ἔννεκα$, which trochee or spondee (to elide the last show (object of following $λίποισα$) works well contrasts well in sense with $ἔρημος ἔμμι$ his men; in strophe 3, when Achilles ha The hiatus between the start of this line	the ἀρτίως γάρ. However the connective γάρ clashes with of Hal. 162 and of Dion. of Hal. 182 on the combination in goes at the start of the line; next I needed a vowel-initial rt of ἔννεκα for scansion purposes): the accusative ἄμμε II, because it (a) doesn't clash with π in π όσσι and (b) in the 3rd strophe (i.e. here, the woman left Achilles and as walked away from his men, he's alone). and the end of strophe 1 is fine: Lobel 1925 page 67. Inding attached directly to the stem, is the true Lesbian form
			however the upsilon breaks the o-echo in the line running
2. 2	σὺν νέοισι δάκρυσιν ἐν φίλοισιν	Hal. 188. I searched for another adjecti seemed to be the best way forward, as the	λοισι, however this involves a clash of $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ with κ -: Dion. of ive which wouldn't create a clash after ν : a ν -initial adjective he mouth doesn't need to change position to pronounce the as choice, reflecting the fact that these wouldn't be the ptive.
		It is permissible to put the 2nd-declension short - <i>i</i> ending in each case falls in an ar	on adjectives where they are (and only there), since the
		This sentence, mentioning her feet, tear qualities, which is appropriate for Sappl	rs, eyes and cheek, reflect Achilles' focus on her physical hic verse: Page 1955 page 114.
2. 3-4	ὀππάτεσσιν, εἰς Ἀγαμέμνον΄ ἦλθε καλλιπάρηος.	with Agamemnon, a way of speaking w κύδιστε. However this is inappropriate of Achilles for the love of another, and S page 133, and (b) since this would make	ine as, $\partial \gamma \lambda \alpha \omega \tau \alpha \tau \sigma \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$, using the deferential adjective thich Achilles does sometimes use, eg. Iliad 1. 122 $^{\prime}\!$
3. 1	ὦδ' ἔρημος ἔμμι, φέρω δὲ λύπαις	of course confirms that this refers to the Originally I wrote νῦν δ' ἔρημος ἔμμι, h	used of her in Iliad 1.184, 1.323, &c. The nominative form $\kappa \delta \rho \alpha$ and not Agamemnon. However to fix the clash between $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ and the following accusative plural of $\lambda \dot{\nu} \pi \alpha$, the ending is $-\alpha \iota s$: Page 1955

No.	Line	Notes	5. My Sapphic compositions - 1 - Άχιλῆος
3.2	χῶρις· οὔπω τόσσον ἔπασχον ὄσσον	χῶριs is here used as an adverb to ϕ έρω, not as a prep grief, I thought a simple correlative construction "nev $\ddot{o}\sigma\sigma\sigma\nu$ would work best.	
3.3-4	σήμερον πάσχω, θάνατον δ' ὄρημμι μέλλιχον ἄγχι,	Originally I wrote the ending of this line τέρπνον ἴκον τέρπνον is better avoided, and so I replaced this phras ἄγχι in the 1st strophe, and I think μέλλιχον is better	se with μέλλιχον ἄγχι, creating an echo of
4. 1	πήλοθεν δ' ἤδη δοκίμωμι τίμα	Here I wanted to say τ ίμα δ' ἤδη δοκεῖ μοι ἔμμεναι πη Sappho is δοκίμωμι (Hamm 1957, glossary), and so the can fit in this line. ἔμμεναι, both as a cretic and as a s in the next line: my localisation tables (a) and (i). π of this line, and δ' ἤδη can follow. τ ίμα then slots into τ ήλοθεν in other dialects, and can be used without a g	ήλοθεν ἔμεθεν. First of all, δοκεῖ μοι in his should go at positions 6-9, the only spot it specific form, should go after it in positions 1- ήλοθεν then, another cretic, can go at the start to the end of the line. $\pi \eta \lambda o \theta \epsilon v$ in Lesbian =
4.2	ἔμμεναι, κωὔδεις μ' ἔτι δῶρα δώσει,	$\xi\mu\mu\epsilon\nu\alpha\iota$ was already proposed for the start of this line 4.1 and 4.2 is fine, because 4.1 ends in a long vowel: We can next suggest that Achilles has lost all hope; not expect to get another. Sappho 1.22 suggests the word euphonic quality as a result of the ω echo. $\sigma i \delta \epsilon \iota s \mu' \xi$ a connective: $\kappa \alpha \iota$ crasis works, and $\kappa \alpha \iota + \sigma i \delta \epsilon \iota s$ produ	(see note on 4. 1). The hiatus between lines Lobel 1925 page 66. ot only has he lost his $\gamma \epsilon \rho \alpha s$, but he doesn't ds $\delta \hat{\omega} \rho \alpha \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, which together have a $\tau \iota$ before it completes the sense, but we need
4.3-4	δαίμονες γὰρ αὖτέ μ' ἄσαις ἔθηκαν, ἀλλὰ πεφύγγων	Originally I wrote for this line $ου γὰρ αντ' ἔπεσσι θέλ$ flow of ideas is broken if you refer to this mundane fact in sense like this. Changing direction, I wanted to int ἄσαι here, so I wrote δαίμονες γὰρ αντέ μ' ἄσαις ἔθηκι echo throughout this poem, just as δηθτε echoes throughout of ἄσαις, see Page 1955 page 328. πεφύγγων φυγγάνω (for the ending -ων of perfect participles in I	ων πίθεσθ' ἄλλων βασιλήων, however the et here: Sappho's poems roll on without breaks troduce the idea of the gods giving Achilles $αν, ἀλλὰ πεφύγγων. αντε continued the agh Sappho 1. For the accusative plural ν is an attested perfect participle from$

Line

5.1 πὰρ θάλασσαν ἦλθον ἄρ', ὤστε φεύγην

- 5.2 ὅττινας θέοι μ' ἔδοσαν θέλοντες,
- 5.3-4 η γαρ οἶός τ' ἔσσομ' ἄσαν ἀνάσσην, αἰ σὰ παρέσσηι.

Since this is the final strophe, we should (as mentioned above) return to the sea image, ie Achilles should describe his coming to the beach. The famous image in Iliad $1.34~(\beta\hat{\eta}\,\delta'\,\mathring{a}\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\omega\nu\,\pi a\rho\grave{a}\,\theta\imath\nu a)$ suggests the general form, but some modifications are required. For $\pi a\rho \acute{a}$, in Aeolic the form $\pi \acute{a}\rho$ is used: Lobel 1927 page 14. The trochaic group $\pi \grave{a}\rho\,\theta\acute{a}\lambda a\sigma\sigma a\nu$ suggests itself, which could be followed by $\mathring{\eta}\lambda\theta o\nu$ to complete the line to position 6.

The particle $\[Theta] \rho$ after the verb here takes its earlier sense "straight away": see LSJ $\[Theta] \rho$ A. I. Moving to the final idea of the poem (similar to Sappho 1, where the goddess' epiphany would give Sappho the strength to endure), we could qualify $\[Theta] \rho$ above, not as Achilles fleeing Agamemnon, but as Achilles fleeing the pains which the gods gave him (as if mortals couldn't cause him such grief). The appropriate particle here would thus be the consequential $\[Theta] \rho$ 0 and $\[Theta] \rho$ 1 suggesting that Achilles not only intends to flee his grief, but will actually do so if he can get to his mother.

ὅττινας here stands for the ἄσαις mentioned at the end of the last strophe. We can use the form ἔδοσαν (Lobel 1927 page 30), and so put it in positions 6-8. A consonant must follow to make position, and the participle expressing the god's will, ie θ έλοντες, came to mind. With elided μοι after θ έοι (which is permitted: Page 1955 page 21), the line is done.

Future of $\xi\sigma\sigma\eta\iota$: see Lobel 1927 page 39. Originally I wrote the first part of the line literally and in the present, i.e. $\hat{\eta}$ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $o\hat{i} \dot{o} s$ τ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \iota$ $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \nu$ $\beta a \rho \dot{\nu} \nu \theta \dot{\epsilon} \iota s$, however this was not euphonic, and I couldn't find a good way to end the line, as the 2sg present of $\xi\mu\mu\iota$ isn't found, but the 2sg future is. I therefore put the first part of the line into the future and then changed the infinitive to fit. I wanted to find a bold way to end the poem, just as Sappho uses $\sigma \ddot{\nu} \mu \mu \alpha \chi \sigma s$ in Sappho 1. Achilles has been humiliated by Agamemnon, but now expresses his feeling that he can rule over his own pains if his mother will come to him. The verb $\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}\sigma\sigma\omega$ then will give this sense. In the infinitive, ie $\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$ (Lobel 1927 page 51), it forms a bacchius, which should go to positions 9-11: see my localisation table (a). The genitive of $\ddot{a}\sigma a\iota$ is required after this verb, and is spelled $\ddot{a}\sigma a\nu$: Hamm 1957 pages 147-148. This combination $\ddot{a}\sigma a\nu$ $\dot{a}\nu \dot{a}\sigma\sigma\eta\nu$ is particularly euphonic, because of the a echo: Dion. of Hal. 96. With $\ddot{\epsilon}\mu\mu\iota$ switched into the future, ie $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\sigma\mu\iota$ (Lobel 1927 page 39), $-a\iota$ can be elided before $\ddot{a}\sigma\alpha\nu$: Lobel 1925 page 61. For the last part of the line, the 2sg future will be a bacchius, $\pi a\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\eta\iota$, and so should go in positions 14-16. $a\iota$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}$ before it completes the line.

The topic is Odysseus praying to Aphrodite. In Iliad B he says that being apart from one's wife is hard (2.291-297), and that he doesn't know if the Greeks (and thus he) will return home (2.252-253).

In this poem I turn this into a request by Od. to Aphrodite to help him endure. Aphrodite is the appropriate goddess to call, because (a) her role as Pandemos, bringing husband and wife together (cf Rosenz. 2004 page 22), is exactly what Od. wishes to call upon, and (b) Aphrodite is the only goddess who Sappho focuses on: Page 1955 page 126. Structure: first Od. should call Aphrodite, then should go through the process of prayer and sacrifice, then should express his pain, then should (as in Sappho 1) mention that he felt pain before but Aphrodite helped him, and finally should (coming back to the present) conclude his request. This gives us 5 strophes.

Although I will describe the ritual of sacrifice, the poem must remain focused on Od. and not on Aphrodite, because Sappho didn't write songs to be sung at rituals: Page 1955 page 112.

1.1 αἴθ', ἀπ' Ἰδας, Κυπρογένηά μ' ἔλθοις,

1.2 καὶ πόδεσσ' οἴα πεδίων ἴοισα

Starting with the summons to the goddess, Od. needs to use less forceful language than imperatives here: Aphrodite is clearly fighting on the Trojan side; Od. should use optative of wish instead (as more deferential). The typical summons $\xi\lambda\theta\epsilon$ would become $\xi\lambda\theta\omega$, and so should go in positions 10-11: my localisation table (a). We can then begin the line with $\alpha i\theta\epsilon$.

As Od. is near Troy and is praying to the east (as is customary: Burkert 1985 page 92), Mount Ida to his right would be a good place to call Aphrodite down from; the phrase $\dot{\alpha}\pi$ ' "I $\delta\alpha$ s would work, a bacchius. Since the ideal position for a bacchius (9-11) is taken (see my localisation table (a)), we can put this in positions 2-4, eliding $\alpha i \theta \epsilon$. We can fill the rest of the line with one of the names Sappho uses for Aphrodite, ie $K \nu \pi \rho o \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \alpha$: Page 1955 pages 126-128. It's appropriate to insert a name of the goddess here, at the start of the poem: Page 1955 pages 39-40. We can insert after this elided $\mu o \iota$ (following $\epsilon \lambda \theta o \iota s$ in sense) to prevent hiatus: Page 1955 page 21.

We can further describe here the arrival of Aphrodite: Page 1955 page 18. If Aphrodite was arriving from Ida, clearly she would need to pass through or over Priam's territory: given that Od. knows Aphrodite fights for the Trojans, Od. could ask Aphrodite to pass through the plains of Priam "alone". "Plains" will go into the genitive, as in Iliad 6.2: it therefore needs to go in positions 6-8. The participle \emph{loiga} naturally follows, and "alone", \emph{ola} , can go before it. If we use the (strictly unnecessary, but following the participle in sense) dative $\pi \acute{o} \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$, we can begin the line with $\kappa \alpha \iota \pi \acute{o} \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \acute{o}$. The dative spelling $\pi \acute{o} \delta \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$ is admissible (Hamm 1957 page 154), although this is probably not a true Lesbian form: Lobel 1927 page 50.

Notes

1.3-4 Περράμω, χώροις ἐπὶ βῶμον ἔνθα σοί νυν ἄραμαι.

2.1 ἐν πύρι πρῶτ', ἀ φιλότατα Κύπρι,

2.2 κάρπον ἐμβάλλω, γλυκέως δὲ λείβω

We can finish the participle clause with the cretic $\Pi \epsilon \rho \rho \acute{a} \mu \omega$, which naturally goes at the start of the line: my localisation table (a).

Next, calling the goddess to the $\beta\hat{\omega}\mu$ os (where Od. will perform the ritual to be described in strophe 2), $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\iota$ $\beta\hat{\omega}\mu$ o ν naturally fills positions 6-9. Now we just need another optative of wish to express the same thing as $\check{\epsilon}\lambda\theta$ o ι s; we can't use that however, as we need a consonant-initial verb after $\Pi\epsilon\rho\rho\dot{\alpha}\mu\omega$. The choice is wide for a verb to express "come/go"; starting from the best-sounding non-vowels, being θ ϕ and χ (Dion. of Hal. 104), the form $\chi\dot{\omega}\rho$ o ι s suggested itself, with ω echoing the ω occurring in $\Pi\epsilon\rho\rho\dot{\alpha}\mu\omega$ and $\beta\dot{\omega}\mu$ o ν .

For the ending, I originally wrote $\epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\alpha} \tau o i \nu \nu \nu \ddot{\alpha} \rho a \mu a \iota$ However, to avoid the different sense that the particle combination TOINYN could have, I changed $\tau o \iota$ to $\sigma o \dot{\iota}$.

In strophe 2, I followed the ritual sequence described in Burkert 1985 page 46, ie first give grain, then a $\sigma\pi\delta\nu\delta\alpha$ of wine, then sacrifice, &c. If we use $\kappa\delta\rho\pi\sigma$ s for grain, a general word, the $\kappa\delta\rho\pi\sigma$ s should be cast by Od. into the fire: Burkert 1985 page 68.

Originally I wrote καὶ γὰρ ἐν πύρ' αἰθομένωι, μάκαιρα. However, I thought μάκαιρα here sounded like a space filler only; it was too short and lacked weight. To lengthen out the address, I deleted αἰθομένωι and finished the line with Κύπρι, one of the names by which Sappho addressed Aphrodite: Page 1955 pages 126-128. I knew that I'd use an adjective of some type before Κύπρι to fill this gap created by the deletion of αἰθομένωι, and so the definitive article is required (where adjective + proper noun is used: Lobel 1927 pages 88-89), ie ἀ in position 5. This gave καὶ γὰρ ἐν πύρ', ἀ $\sim - \sim Κύπρι$. To fill the $\sim - \sim$ gap, I looked at my localisation table (1), and found a good adjective, the superlative φιλότατα. However, then I realised that the dative singular ending shouldn't be elided (see page 28 of Sidgwick's Greek Verse Composition). I therefore deleted καὶ γὰρ and moved ἐν πύρι to the start of the line, and then looked for a good mono-syll word for position 4, which would make position for the dative sg ending. We don't want to elide another dative, and so an adjective wouldn't work; an adverb would be better. πρῶτοs, in the neut acc pl (elided) as an adverb, works well in this list of offerings to Aphrodite, and the ι in πύρι must scan long in this position before πρ: Lobel 1925 page 43.

Of the words for "pour" (Burkert 1985 page 70), the most appropriate for the pouring of wine at a sacrifice would be $\sigma\pi\acute{\epsilon}\nu\delta\omega$ or $\lambda\epsilon\acute{\iota}\beta\omega$. The former wouldn't scan, so I used the latter; this has the advantage of continuing the λ echo from $\acute{\epsilon}\mu\beta\acute{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$ and $\gamma\lambda\nu\kappa\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ s, and λ is the best-sounding of semi-vowels: Dion. of Hal. 98.

Notes

No.

2.3-4 λάμπρον οἶνον, αἶμά τε θέρμον αἶγος, οὐδ' ἀμέλημμι

3.1 βῶμον αἰμάσσην, ἔτι καὶ μέλισσαν

3.2 σοὶ δίδωμ', ἐπεὶ μάλα δὰν ἄπεμμι

For positions 12-16, originally I wrote $\sigma o i \mu \acute{e} \gamma \ddot{a} \gamma a \lambda \mu a$, using an apposition: this syntax is commonly used in Homer (Chantraine 1963 s15) and in eg Sophocles (Moorhouse 1982 page 46. However on reflection I wasn't sure if this type of syntax could be used in Sappho, and besides, I didn't think the following participle clause (which I had written $\beta \hat{\omega} \mu o \nu a i \mu \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$) connected well after the apposition. I was reading my Hughes 1827 "A vocabulary to the Oediups Tyrannus of Sophocles" while thinking about this, and seeing the word $\mathring{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \omega$, I realised this would be $\mathring{a} \mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \mu \mu \iota$ in Aeolic: Lobel 1927 pages 42 & 51. This word, loaded with μ s and λ , is quite euphonic: see generally Dion. of Hal. 98. Negativing this verb with $o i \delta$, we have the end of this strophe, and I only needed to change the participle in the next strophe to an infinitive, ie $a \imath \mu \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \eta \nu$, using the Aeolic infinitive ending $-\eta \nu$: Lobel 1927 page 51. This completed the construction. This gruesome practice of bloodying the altar was a key part of the sacrifice ritual: Burkert 1985 pages 56-57.

Next we can (continuing the ritual sequence from Burkert 1985 page 46) mention the giving of $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\iota$, honey, as a bloodless offering after the sacrifice. Od. can suggest that he is giving a great deal to Aphrodite by the particle combination $\acute{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ $\kappa\alpha\iota$. This needs to sit (because of the double-short in $\acute{\epsilon}\tau\iota$) in positions 6-8, leaving 3 positions empty after it at the end of the line. We can fill this gap by replacing $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\iota$ with $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\lambda\iota\sigma\sigma\alpha$, which can also mean honey (see LSJ). This needs to go into the accusative, as the object of $\delta\iota\delta\omega\mu\iota$ $\sigma\sigma\iota$ (which can go to the start of the next line, with the word order reversed for scansion purposes).

Here, we can give the reason for Od.'s call to Aphrodite, ie his absence from his wife. $\mathring{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\mu\mu$ suggests itself as the best verb: my localisation table (a) shows it should go in positions 7-9 or 9-11. We need an adverb to express the length of the absence; I thought first of giving the number of years, but that wouldn't sit properly with Od.'s emotion at this point I think. The phrase $ο\mathring{v}\tau$ μάλα $δ\mathring{\eta}\nu$ from eg Iliad 1.416 came to mind. There, the lost digamma in $δ_F\mathring{\eta}\nu$ causes it to make position for the preceding short. However in Sappho, the lost digamma doesn't affect scansion, except in the case of the 3rd person pronoun: Lobel 1925 pages 30 and 28. Since $δ\mathring{\eta}\nu$ is $δ\mathring{\alpha}\nu$ in Sappho (see Hamm 1957 glossary and page 19), $μ\mathring{\alpha}λα$ $δ\mathring{\alpha}\nu$ would scan as an anapaest, and so can only fit in positions 6-8. The best spot for $\mathring{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\mu\mu\nu$, then, is 9-11: my localisation table (a).

3.3-4τᾶς ἐρήμας Πηνελόπας, μέγιστος δ' ἴμερος ἤκει,

- 4.1 ώς πάλαι γ', ὄτ' ἐς Τροΐαν ἔμελλον
- 4.2 ναῦσι βήσεσθαι, τότα δ' ἐς σὸν ἄλσος
- ηλθον, αὖθι δ' αἶψ' ἐλέλυντο γυῖα, καὶ σὰ φάνεισα 4.3-4

First, we need to give the genitive after $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\mu\mu$ in the previous line. $\Pi\eta\nu\epsilon\lambda\delta\pi\alpha$ s, a choriamb, is the appropriate noun, and given its shape, goes straight to 5-8. With 4 positions before it, which I want to keep with the name for a direct construction (ie so that the genitive follows straight after $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\epsilon\mu\mu\nu$), I searched for a good adjective to $\Pi \eta \nu \epsilon \lambda \delta \pi a s$. But to begin, an adjective + proper noun requires the definite article: Lobel 1927 pages 88-89. With $\tau \hat{a}s$ in place at the start of the line, I looked for a vowel-initial adjective (to avoid a syll clash after τα̂s if possible) for positions 2-4, and ἐρήμας (which I had already used in my first composition) suggested itself again, ie Od. (in his own longing) imagines his wife's loneliness.

Now, Od. can actually directly say that he longs for his wife (given the absence). The model for this line for me was Iliad 2.171, where Homer says of Od. that he did not move, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \mu \nu \nu \alpha \gamma \sigma s$ κραδίην καὶ θυμὸν ἴκανεν. The best word here in Sappho's verse (I think) for Od. 's longing is not αχος but $\tilde{l}\mu\epsilon\rho$ os. As a dactyl, it needs to go to the only available space, ie positions 12-14. In place of Homer's $lka\nu\epsilon\nu$, we can use $lka\nu\epsilon\nu$, we can use $lka\nu\epsilon\nu$ after $lka\nu\epsilon\nu$. Now we just need to fill positions 9-11, and μέγιστος came to mind. We need a connective, and so I put in elided δέ to finish the line. The hiatus between the start of this line and the end of strophe 1 is fine: Lobel 1925 page 67. In strophe 4, I want Od. to remind Aphrodite that she comforted Od. before, a common approach in prayer: Burkert 1985 pages 74-75. I will suggest that this happened in Ithaca, just before Od. left his wife to go to war. To bring this out in syntax, I've used the $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ + future infinitive construction of $\beta \alpha i \nu \omega$ (with $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ in the past tense) to express when Od. was about to go to Troy. Here, the molossus $\beta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ needs to go in positions 3-5, and the $\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau a$ clause (with apodotic $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ after the temporal protasis) can begin in position 6. Thus we need a trochee for position 1-2; a dative would work, eg $\nu \alpha \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota$.

For ès σὸν ἄλσος, the article doesn't need to be used: Lobel 1927 page 81.

Reading Iliad 7, I thought that the clause λύντο δὲ γυῖα (Iliad 7.16), (used there for someone dying) would express well here Od. collapsing with grief. However $\lambda \dot{v} \nu \tau \sigma$ needs to be modified because (a) the syllabic augment must be used in Lesbian (Lobel 1925 page 41), and (b) I can't be sure that this athematic 2nd agrist form would be admitted in Sappho. I preferred the pluperfect ἐλέλυντο in positions 6-9, with its two λs, the best-sounding of semi-vowels: Dion. of Hal. 98. γυῖα naturally followed in positions 10-11. With $\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$ in positions 1-2 completing the sense of line 4.2, this left a cretic space in 3-5. However I didn't want to fill this space with one word, as cretics are localised in positions 1-3 (the one place where they neither cross the first anceps nor end in the 2nd-last position of the line): see my localisation table (a). $a\hat{i}\psi'$ before the verb worked well, and $a\hat{v}\theta \delta'$ before it completed the sense.

Line

5.2 χέρσ' ἔλοισα, Πηνελόπαν ἴδεσθαι

5.3-4 αὖ μ' ἔδωκας. ἀλλ' ἔτι με θρασύνοις, αἴ κε πίθωμαι.

Od. has fallen onto the ground; Aphrodite to comfort him might sit beside him. $\pi \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega$ seemed the most appropriate verb; in the 2sg, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \dot{\zeta} \epsilon_S$ in Lesbian would be spelled $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \delta_{\epsilon S}$: Page 1955 page 328. Next, the Iliad 1 image of Thetis taking Zeus' $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ seemed to fit well here, ie Iliad 1.501 where Homer says $\delta \epsilon \dot{\xi} \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \iota \delta' \ddot{\alpha} \rho' \dot{\nu} \pi' \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \sigma \hat{\nu} \sigma a$. The double-short of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \sigma s$ needs to sit in positions 6-7. Now, for the connective: we can't use $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \sigma s$, because it would make position and ruin the scansion. $\kappa \alpha \iota$ crasis with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \sigma s$ should thus be used. $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota} + \dot{\alpha}$ -produces $\kappa \dot{\alpha}$ - in Lesbian: Hamm 1957 page 38. Now we just need to fill positions 10-11. The Aeolic form of the participle $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma c$, ie $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma c$ fit in the remainder of the line, so this can go in the next line. We just have 2 positions empty at the end of this line. The adverb $\ddot{\alpha} \beta \rho \omega s$ from my favourite Sappho poem, 2 (in 2.16), fills the space well.

Putting $\xi \lambda o \iota \sigma a$ near the start of this line (to complete the construction from the previous line), ie in positions 2-4, we have an empty position 1. A 3rd-declension dative with elided $\iota \iota$ would work well (since we already have an adverb at the end of line 5.1). $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \iota$ elided works; ie Aphrodite sitting next to Od. would take his $\dot{a}\nu \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu$ with both hands. Despite Palmer 1980 page 114, the attested spelling of the dat pl is $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \sigma \iota$, not $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \rho \iota$.

Now we just need to explain how Aphrodite comforted Od. before. Promising that he would see his wife again is the right type of idea here I think. The first speech of the Iliad (particularly 1.18 and 1.19) suggested the $\delta i\delta\omega\mu\iota + {\rm dative} + {\rm infinitive}$ construction, ie $\check{\epsilon}\delta\omega\kappa\acute{a}s$ $\mu\iota\iota$ $\check{\iota}\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ $a\mathring{v}$ $\Pi\eta\nu\epsilon\lambda\acute{o}\pi\alpha\nu$. Putting these words into position, firstly $\Pi\eta\nu\epsilon\lambda\acute{o}\pi\alpha\nu$ went straight to the only place where it can go, positions 5-8. This leaves a bacchius space for 9-11, where $\check{\iota}\delta\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ fits perfectly: my localisation table (g) shows how often infinitives in $-\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$ are put here by Sappho. The remainder of the construction then went into the next line.

The line can begin $\alpha \hat{v} \mu' \tilde{\epsilon} \delta \omega \kappa \alpha s$, completing the construction from 5.2. The hiatus between lines 5.2 and 5.3-4 is fine, because 5.2 ends in a long vowel: Lobel 1925 page 66.

Now, bringing the poem back to the present, we can suggest that although Od. was granted by Aphrodite to see his wife again, he needs further comforting now because he is again inconsolable in his grief: his $\mathring{\iota}\mu\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s is over-powering him. We should use the 2sg optative again rather than the imperative when Od. requests something from Aphrodite, for the reasons given in the note to 1.1. $\theta\rho\alpha\sigma\dot{\nu}\nu\sigma$ s, a bacchius, should go straight to positions 9-11: see my localisation table (a), The positions before it should include the particle $\mathring{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ to suggest "still further", and $\mathring{a}\lambda\lambda$ ' $\mathring{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ $\mu\epsilon$ worked. Finally, thinking about how to end the poem, I thought of the memorable post-bucolic phrase in the Iliad (eg 1.207), $\mathring{a}\iota$ $\kappa\epsilon$ $\pi\iota\theta\eta\alpha\iota$, which is concessive in sense (ie "to see if" or "in case": Pulleyn 2000 page 185). This would be good if we move it into the 1sg, ie $\pi\iota\theta\omega\mu\alpha\iota$. The doubt this creates at the end of the poem (ie Od. 's own doubting if his $\mathring{\iota}\mu\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s can be assuaged, if Aphrodite can convince him that he will see his wife again) finishes the poem.

(b) The same compositions, without my notes

1. Άχιλῆος.

δεῦρό μοι πόντω πεδὰ κυμάτεσσι, στᾶθι δ' αὖτε, πότνια μᾶτερ, ἄγχι, καὶ σύ μ' αὖ ψαύσαισα κόμας ὖπίσσω, λύγρα μ' ἄερρε, ἔννεκ' ἄμμε πόσσι κόρα λίποισα σὺν νέοισι δάκρυσιν ἐν φίλοισιν ὀπάτεσσιν, εἰς ἀγαμέμνον' ἦλθε καλλιπάρηος. ὧδ' ἔρημος ἔμμι, φέρω δὲ λύπαις χῶρις· οὔπω τόσσον ἔπασχον ὄσσον σήμερον πάσχω, θάνατον δ' ὄρημμι μέλλιχον ἄγχι, πήλοθεν δ' ἤδη δοκίμωμι τίμα ἔμμεναι, κωὔδεις μ' ἔτι δῶρα δώσει, δαίμονες γὰρ αὖτέ μ' ἄσαις ἔθηκαν, ἀλλὰ πεφύγγων πὰρ θάλασσαν ἦλθον ἄρ', ὤστε φεύγην ὅττινας θέοι μ' ἔδοσαν θέλοντες, ἢ γὰρ οὖός τ' ἔσσομ' ἄσαν ἀνάσσην, αἰ σὺ παρέσσηι.

2. 'Οδυσήος.

αἴθ' ἀπ' Ἰδας, Κυπρογένηά μ' ἔλθοις, καὶ πόδεσσ' οἴα πεδίων ἴοισα
Περράμω, χώροις ἐπὶ βῶμον ἔνθα σοί νυν ἄραμαι. ἐν πύρι πρῶτ', ἀ φιλότατα Κύπρι, κάρπον ἐμβάλλω, γλυκέως δὲ λείβω λάμπρον οἶνον, αἷμά τε θέρμον αἶγος, οὐδ' ἀμέλημμι βῶμον αἰμάσσην, ἔτι καὶ μέλισσαν σοὶ δίδωμ', ἐπεὶ μάλα δὰν ἄπεμμι τᾶς ἐρήμας Πηνελόπας, μέγιστος δ' ἴμερος ἤκει, ὡς πάλαι γ', ὅτ' ἐς Τροίαν ἔμελλον ναῦσι βήσεσθαι, τότα δ' ἐς σὸν ἄλσος ἦλθον, αὖθι δ' αἷψ' ἐλέλυντο γυῖα, καὶ σὰ φάνεισα μοὶ πάρισδες, κἀνθερέωνος ἄβρως χέρσ' ἔλοισα, Πηνελόπαν ἴδεσθαι αὖ μ' ἔδωκας. ἀλλ' ἔτι με θρασύνοις, αἴ κε πίθωμαι.